arXiv:1511.08667v1 [math.RA] 27 Nov 2015

Homological Aspects of the Dual Auslander Transpose II

Xi Tang
College of Science, Guilin University of Technology, Guilin 541004, Guangxi Province, P.R. China
E-mail: tx5259@sina.com.cn

Zhaoyong Huang
Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, Jiangsu Province, P.R. China
E-mail: huangzy@nju.edu.cn

Abstract

Let R and S be rings and ${}_R\omega_S$ a semidualizing bimodule. We prove that there exists a Morita equivalence between the class of ∞-ω-cotorsionfree modules and a subclass of the class of ω-adstatic modules. Also we establish the relation between the relative homological dimensions of a module M and the corresponding standard homological dimensions of $\mathrm{Hom}(\omega, M)$. By investigating the properties of the Bass injective dimension of modules (resp. complexes), we get some equivalent characterizations of semi-tilting modules (resp. Gorenstein artin algebras). Finally we obtain a dual version of the Auslander-Bridger's approximation theorem. As a consequence, we get some equivalent characterizations of Auslander n-Gorenstein artin algebras.

Key Words: Semidualizing bimodules; ∞-ω-cotorsionfree modules; Bass classes; $\mathcal{X}$-projective dimension; $\mathcal{X}$-injective dimension; Bass injective dimension; (Strong) Ext-cograde, (Strong) Tor-cograde.
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16E10, 18G25, 16E05, 16E30.

1. Introduction

Semidualizing bimodules arise naturally in the investigation of various duality theories in commutative algebra. The study of such modules was initiated by Foxby in [19] and by Golod in [21]. Then Holm and White extended in [23] this notion to arbitrary associative rings, while Christensen in [12] and Kubik in [28] extended it to semidualizing complexes and quasidualizing modules respectively. The study of semidualizing bimodules or complexes was connected to the so-called Auslander classes and Bass classes defined by Avramov and Foxby in [6] and by Christensen in [12]. Semidualizing bimodules or complexes and the corresponding Auslander/Bass classes have been studied by many authors; see, for example, [1, 6, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 23, 34] and so on. In order to dualize the important and useful notions of Auslander transpose of modules and n-torsionfree modules, we introduced in [34] the notions of

cotranspose of modules and n-cotorsionfree modules with respect to a semidualizing bimodule, and obtained several dual counterparts of interesting results. Based on these mentioned above, we study further homological properties of cotranspose of modules, n-cotorsionfree modules and related modules.

The paper is organized as follows.

In Section 2, we give some terminology and some preliminary results. In particular, we prove that if $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ is a commutative Gorenstein complete local ring with $\dim R > 0$ and $\mathfrak{q}$ is a prime ideal of R with height non-zero, then the tensor product of the injective envelopes of $R/\mathfrak{q}$ and k is equal to zero. This gives a negative answer to an open question of Kubik posed in [28] about quasidualizing modules.

Let R and S be rings and ${}_R\omega_S$ a semidualizing bimodule. In Section 3, we prove that if the projective dimension of ${}_R\omega$ is finite, then the class of ∞-ω-cotorsionfree modules is contained in the right orthogonal class of ${}_R\omega$; dually, if the projective dimension of ω_S is finite, then the above inclusion relation between these two classes of modules is reverse. Also we prove that there exists a Morita equivalence between the class of ∞-ω-cotorsionfree modules and a subclass of the class of ω-adstatic modules. Finally, we establish the relation between the relative homological dimensions of a module M and the corresponding standard homological dimensions of $\mathrm{Hom}(\omega, M)$.

In Section 4, we first give some criteria for computing the Bass injective dimension of modules in term of the vanishing of Ext-functors and some special approximations of modules. Then, motivated by the philosophy of [27], we introduce the notion of semi-tilting bimodules in general case, and prove that ${}_R\omega_S$ is right semi-tilting if and only if the Bass injective dimension of ${}_RR$ is finite.

In Section 5, we extend the Bass class and the Bass injective dimension of modules with respect to ω to that of homologically bounded complexes. We show that a homologically bounded complex has finite Bass injective dimension if and only if it admits a special quasi-isomorphism in the derived category of the category of modules. As an application of this result, we get some equivalent characterizations of Gorenstein artin algebras.

In Section 6, we first introduce the notions of (strong) Ext-cograde and Tor-cograde of modules with respect to ω. Then we obtain a dual version of the Auslander-Bridger's approximation theorem ([18, Proposition 3.8]) as follows. For any left R-module M and $n \geqslant 1$, if the Tor-cograde of $\mathrm{Ext}^i_R(\omega, M)$ with respect to ω is at least i for any $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, then there exists a left R-module U and a homomorphism $f : U \to M$ of left R-modules satisfying the following properties: (1) The injective dimension of U relative to the class of ω-projective modules is at most n, and (2) $\mathrm{Ext}^i_R(\omega, f)$ is bijective for any $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. As an application of this result,

we prove that for any $n \geqslant 1$, the strong Ext-cograde of $\mathrm{Tor}_i^S(\omega, N)$ with respect to ω is at least i for any left S-module N and $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ if and only if the strong Tor-cograde of $\mathrm{Ext}_R^i(\omega, M)$ with respect to ω is at least i for any left R-module M and $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. Furthermore, we get some equivalent characterizations of Auslander n-Gorenstein artin algebras.

2. **Preliminaries**

Throughout this paper, R and S are fixed associative rings with unites. We use $\mathrm{Mod}\, R$ (resp. $\mathrm{Mod}\, S^{op}$) to denote the class of left R-modules (resp. right S-modules). Let $M \in \mathrm{Mod}\, R$. We use $\mathrm{pd}_R M$, $\mathrm{fd}_R M$ and $\mathrm{id}_R M$ to denote the projective, flat and injective dimensions of M respectively, and use $\mathrm{Add}_R M$ (resp. $\mathrm{Prod}_R M$) to denote the subclass of $\mathrm{Mod}\, R$ consisting of all direct summands of direct sums (resp. direct products) of copies of M. We use

$$0 \to M \to I^0(M) \xrightarrow{f^0} I^1(M) \xrightarrow{f^1} \cdots \xrightarrow{f^{i-1}} I^i(M) \xrightarrow{f^i} \cdots \tag{1.1}$$

to denote a minimal injective resolution of M. For any $n \geqslant 1$, $\mathrm{co}\Omega^n(M) := \mathrm{Im}\, f^{n-1}$ is called the n**-th cosyzygy** of M, and in particular, $\mathrm{co}\Omega^0(M) := M$.

Definition 2.1. ([23]). (1) An (R-S)-bimodule ${}_R\omega_S$ is called **semidualizing**[1] if the following conditions are satisfied:

(a1) ${}_R\omega$ admits a degreewise finite R-projective resolution.
(a2) ω_S admits a degreewise finite S-projective resolution.
(b1) The homothety map ${}_RR_R \xrightarrow{R\gamma} \mathrm{Hom}_{S^{op}}(\omega, \omega)$ is an isomorphism.
(b2) The homothety map ${}_SS_S \xrightarrow{\gamma_S} \mathrm{Hom}_R(\omega, \omega)$ is an isomorphism.
(c1) $\mathrm{Ext}_R^{\geqslant 1}(\omega, \omega) = 0$.
(c2) $\mathrm{Ext}_{S^{op}}^{\geqslant 1}(\omega, \omega) = 0$.

(2) A semidualizing bimodule ${}_R\omega_S$ is called **faithful** if the following conditions are satisfied:

(f1) If $M \in \mathrm{Mod}\, R$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_R(\omega, M) = 0$, then $M = 0$.
(f2) If $N \in \mathrm{Mod}\, S^{op}$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_{S^{op}}(\omega, N) = 0$, then $N = 0$.

[1]In [34] and the original version of this paper, we use C to denote the given semidualizing module. The referee suggests the following: "The notation $\mathrm{cTr}_C M$ (see Definition 2.5 below) is very confusing. I am not sure how the first 'c' is distinguished with the semidualizing module C, particularly when writing it on the blackboard. It would be better to change the notation or quit using C for the semidualzing module." Following this suggestion, we denote the given semidualizing module by substituting ω for C.

Typical examples of semidualizing bimodules include the free module of rank one, dualizing modules over a Cohen-Macaulay local ring and the ordinary Matlis dual bimodule ${}_\Lambda D(\Lambda)_\Lambda$ of ${}_\Lambda\Lambda_\Lambda$ over an artin algebra Λ. Any semidualizing bimodule over commutative rings is faithful ([23, Proposition 3.1]). Semidualizing bimodules occur in the literature with several different names, e.g., in the work of [19, 21, 30, 35].

Let R be a commutative noetherian local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ and residue field $k = R/\mathfrak{m}$. According to [28], an artinian R-module T is called **quasidualizing** if the homothety $\hat{R} \to \mathrm{Hom}_R(T,T)$ is an isomorphism (where $\hat{R}$ is the $\mathfrak{m}$-adic completion of R) and $\mathrm{Ext}^{i\geqslant 1}_R(T,T) = 0$. It was proved in [28, Lemma 3.11] that if L and T are R-modules with T quasidualizing such that $\mathrm{Hom}_R(T,L) = 0$, then $L = 0$. Motivated by this result and [23, Lemma 3.1], an open question was posed in [28] as follows.

Question 2.2. ([28, Question 3.12]) *Let R be a commutative noetherian local ring. If L and T are R-modules with T quasidualizing such that $T \otimes_R L = 0$, then $L = 0$?*

The following result shows that the answer to this question is negative in general.

Proposition 2.3. *Let R be a commutative noetherian complete local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ and residue field $k = R/\mathfrak{m}$. If R is Gorenstein (that is, $\mathrm{id}_R R < \infty$) with $\dim R > 0$, then $E^0(R/\mathfrak{q}) \otimes_R E^0(k) = 0$ for any prime ideal $\mathfrak{q}$ with $\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{q}) > 0$, where $\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{q})$ is the height of $\mathfrak{q}$.*

Proof. By [31, Theorem 4.2], $E^0(k)$ is quasidualizing. Since R is Gorenstein, it follows from [8, Fundamental Theorem] that $E^i(R) = \oplus_{\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p})=i} E(R/\mathfrak{p})$ with $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ (the prime spectrum of R) for any $i \geqslant 0$. In particular, $E^0(R) = \oplus_{\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p})=0} E(R/\mathfrak{p})$ with $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(R)$. On the other hand, for any $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q} \in \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ with $\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = 0$ and $\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{q}) > 0$, we have $\mathrm{Hom}_R(E^0(R/\mathfrak{q}), E^0(R/\mathfrak{p})) = 0$. So $\mathrm{Hom}_R(E^0(R/\mathfrak{q}), E^0(R)) = 0$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_R(E^0(R/\mathfrak{q}), R) = 0$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Hom}_R(E^0(R/\mathfrak{q}) \otimes_R E^0(k), E^0(k)) \\
&\cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(E^0(R/\mathfrak{q}), \mathrm{Hom}_R(E^0(k), E^0(k))) \text{ (by the adjoint isomorphism theorem)} \\
&\cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(E^0(R/\mathfrak{q}), R) \text{ (by [31, Theorem 4.2])} \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Because $E^0(k)$ is an injective cogenerator for $\mathrm{Mod}\, R$, $E^0(R/\mathfrak{q}) \otimes_R E^0(k) = 0$. □

From now on, ${}_R\omega_S$ is a semidualizing bimodule. For convenience, we write $(-)_* = \mathrm{Hom}_R(\omega, -)$ and ${}_R\omega^\perp = \{M \in \mathrm{Mod}\, R \mid \mathrm{Ext}^{i\geqslant 1}_R(\omega, M) = 0\}$.

Let $M \in \operatorname{Mod} R$ and $N \in \operatorname{Mod} S$. Then we have the following two canonical valuation homomorphisms:

$$\theta_M : \omega \otimes_S M_* \to M$$

defined by $\theta_M(x \otimes f) = f(x)$ for any $x \in \omega$ and $f \in M_*$; and

$$\mu_N : N \to (\omega \otimes_S N)_*$$

defined by $\mu_N(y)(x) = x \otimes y$ for any $y \in N$ and $x \in \omega$. Following [37], M (resp. N) is called **ω-static** (resp. **ω-adstatic**) if θ_M (resp. μ_N) is an isomorphism. We denote by $\operatorname{Stat}(\omega)$ and $\operatorname{Adst}(\omega)$ the class of all ω-static modules and the class of all ω-adstatic modules, respectively.

Definition 2.4. ([23]). The **Bass class** $\mathcal{B}_\omega(R)$ with respect to ω consists of all left R-modules M satisfying

(B1) $M \in {}_R\omega^\perp$,
(B2) $\operatorname{Tor}^S_{\geqslant 1}(\omega, M_*) = 0$, and
(B3) $M \in \operatorname{Stat}(\omega)$, that is, θ_M is an isomorphism in $\operatorname{Mod} R$.

The **Auslander class** $\mathcal{A}_\omega(S)$ with respect to ω consists of all left S-modules N satisfying

(A1) $\operatorname{Tor}^S_{i \geqslant 1}(\omega, N) = 0$,
(A2) $\omega \otimes_S N \in {}_R\omega^\perp$, and
(A3) $N \in \operatorname{Adst}(\omega)$, that is, μ_N is an isomorphism in $\operatorname{Mod} S$.

Definition 2.5. ([34]). Let $M \in \operatorname{Mod} R$ and $n \geqslant 1$.

(1) $\operatorname{cTr}_\omega M := \operatorname{Coker} {f^0}_*$ is called the **cotranspose** of M with respect to ${}_R\omega_S$, where f^0 is as in (1.1).
(2) M is called **n-ω-cotorsionfree** if $\operatorname{Tor}^S_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n}(\omega, \operatorname{cTr}_\omega M) = 0$; and M is called **∞-ω-cotorsionfree** if it is n-ω-cotorsionfree for all n. The class of all ∞-ω-cotorsionfree modules is denoted by $\operatorname{c}\mathcal{T}(R)$. In particular, every module in $\operatorname{Mod} R$ is 0-ω-cotorsionfree.

By [34, Proposition 3.2], a module is 2-ω-cotorsionfree if and only if it is ω-static.

Let $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ be subclasses of $\operatorname{Mod} R$. Recall from [3] that $\mathcal{W}$ is called a **generator** for $\mathcal{X}$ if for any $X \in \mathcal{X}$, there exists an exact sequence $0 \to X' \to W \to X \to 0$ in $\operatorname{Mod} R$ with $W \in \mathcal{W}$ and $X' \in \mathcal{X}$; $\mathcal{W}$ is called an Ext**-projective generator** for $\mathcal{X}$ if $\mathcal{W}$ is a generator for $\mathcal{X}$ and $\operatorname{Ext}^{i \geqslant 1}_R(W, X) = 0$ for any $X \in \mathcal{X}$ and $W \in \mathcal{W}$. Also recall that $\mathcal{X}$ is called **coresolving** if it is closed under extensions, cokernels of monomorphisms and it contains all injective modules in $\operatorname{Mod} R$.

Let $M \in \operatorname{Mod} R$. An exact sequence (of finite or infinite length):

$$\cdots \to X_n \to \cdots \to X_1 \to X_0 \to M \to 0$$

in $\operatorname{Mod} R$ is called an **$\mathcal{X}$-resolution** of M if all X_i are in $\mathcal{X}$; furthermore, such an $\mathcal{X}$-resolution is called **proper** if it remains exact after applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_R(X, -)$ for any $X \in \mathcal{X}$. The **$\mathcal{X}$-projective dimension** $\mathcal{X}\text{-pd}_R M$ of M is defined as $\inf\{n \mid$ there exists an $\mathcal{X}$-resolution $0 \to X_n \to \cdots \to X_1 \to X_0 \to M \to 0$ of M in $\operatorname{Mod} R\}$. Dually, the notions of an **$\mathcal{X}$-coresolution**, an **$\mathcal{X}$-coproper coresolution** and the **$\mathcal{X}$-injective dimension** $\mathcal{X}\text{-id}_R M$ of M are defined.

Definition 2.6. ([16]) A module $M \in \operatorname{Mod} R$ is called **Gorenstein projective** if there exists an exact sequence of projective modules:

$$\mathbf{P} := \cdots \to P_1 \to P_0 \to P^0 \to P^1 \to \cdots$$

in $\operatorname{Mod} R$ satisfying the conditions: (1) it remains exact after applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_R(-, P)$ for any projective module P in $\operatorname{Mod} R$; and (2) $M \cong \operatorname{Im}(P_0 \to P^0)$. Dually, the notion of Gorenstein injective modules is defined. We use $\mathcal{GP}(R)$ (resp. $\mathcal{GI}(R)$) to denote the subclass of $\operatorname{Mod} R$ consisting of Gorenstein projective (resp. Gorenstein injective) modules.

Fact 2.7.

(1) $\mathcal{B}_\omega(R)$ is coresolving and $\operatorname{Add}_R \omega$ is an Ext-projective generator for $\mathcal{B}_\omega(R)$ (see [23, Proposition 5.1(b),Theorem 6.2] and [34, Proposition 3.7]).

(2) When ω is a dualizing module over a local Cohen-Macaulay ring R, $\mathcal{B}_\omega(R)$ actually is exactly the class of modules admitting finite Gorenstein injective dimensions (see [17, Corollary 2.6]). However, the following example illustrates that these two classes of modules are different in general.

Example 2.8. Let Λ be the finite-dimensional algebra over a field defined by the following quiver and relation:

$$\circ 1 \rightleftarrows \circ 2 \longleftarrow \circ 3 \longleftarrow \circ 4 \rightleftarrows \circ 5,$$

$$(\operatorname{rad}\Lambda)^2 = 0.$$

Take ${}_\Lambda\omega = {}^2_1\oplus {}^1_2{}^3\oplus 3 \oplus {}_3{}^4_5 \oplus {}^5_4$ and $M = {}_3{}^4_5$. Then by [36, Example 3.1] and [34, Theorem 3.9], ${}_\Lambda\omega_{End({}_\Lambda\omega)}$ is a semidualizing bimodule and $M \in \mathcal{B}_\omega(\Lambda)$. But an easy computation shows that the Gorenstein injective dimension of M is infinite.

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a subcategory of an abelian category $\mathcal{A}$. Recall from [16] that a sequence:

$$\mathbb{S} : \cdots \to S_1 \to S_2 \to S_3 \to \cdots$$

in $\mathcal{A}$ is called $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E},-)$**-exact** (resp. $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(-,\mathcal{E})$**-exact**) if $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(E,\mathbb{S})$ (resp. $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{S},E)$) is exact for any object E in $\mathcal{E}$. An epimorphism (resp. a monomorphism) in $\mathcal{A}$ is called $\mathcal{E}$**-proper** (resp. $\mathcal{E}$**-coproper**) if it is $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E},-)$-exact (resp. $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(-,\mathcal{E})$-exact).

Definition 2.9. ([25]) Let $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ be subcategories of an abelian category $\mathcal{A}$. Then $\mathcal{T}$ is called $\mathcal{E}$**-coresolving** in $\mathcal{A}$ if the following conditions are satisfied.

(1) $\mathcal{T}$ admits an $\mathcal{E}$**-coproper cogenerator** $\mathcal{C}$, that is, $\mathcal{C}\subseteq\mathcal{T}$, and for any object T in $\mathcal{T}$, there exists a $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(-,\mathcal{E})$-exact exact sequence $0\to T\to C\to T^{'}\to 0$ in $\mathcal{A}$ such that C is an object in $\mathcal{C}$ and $T^{'}$ is an object in $\mathcal{T}$.

(2) $\mathcal{T}$ is **closed under $\mathcal{E}$-coproper extensions**, that is, for any $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(-,\mathcal{E})$-exact exact sequence $0\to A_1\to A_2\to A_3\to 0$ in $\mathcal{A}$, if both A_1 and A_3 are objects in $\mathcal{T}$, then A_2 is also an object in $\mathcal{T}$.

(3) $\mathcal{T}$ is **closed under cokernels of $\mathcal{E}$-coproper monomorphisms**, that is, for any $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(-,\mathcal{E})$-exact exact sequence $0\to A_1\to A_2\to A_3\to 0$ in $\mathcal{A}$, if both A_1 and A_2 are objects in $\mathcal{T}$, then A_3 is also an object in $\mathcal{T}$.

Dually, the notions of $\mathcal{E}$**-proper generators** and $\mathcal{E}$**-resolving subcategories** are defined.

3. Relative Homological Dimensions

Holm and White obtained in [23] some equivalent characterizations of $\mathcal{B}_\omega(R)$ in terms of the so-called ω-projective and ω-flat modules. Similar results were also proved by Enochs and Holm in [15]. Recently, we proved in [34, Theorem 3.9] that $\mathcal{B}_\omega(R)=\mathrm{c}\mathcal{T}(R)\cap {}_R\omega^{\perp}$. In the beginning of this section, we investigate the further relation among $\mathrm{c}\mathcal{T}(R)$, ${}_R\omega^{\perp}$ and $\mathcal{B}_\omega(R)$.

Proposition 3.1.

(1) *If* $\mathrm{pd}_R\,\omega<\infty$, *then* $\mathrm{c}\mathcal{T}(R)\subseteq {}_R\omega^{\perp}$.

(2) *If* $\mathrm{pd}_{S^{op}}\,\omega<\infty$, *then* ${}_R\omega^{\perp}\subseteq \mathrm{c}\mathcal{T}(R)$.

Proof. (1) Let $M\in\mathrm{c}\mathcal{T}(R)$. Then by [34, Proposition 3.7], there exists an exact sequence:

$$\cdots\to W_n\to W_{n-1}\to\cdots\to W_0\to M\to 0$$

in $\mathrm{Mod}\,R$ with all $W_i\in\mathrm{Add}_R\,\omega$. Put $M_i=\mathrm{Im}(W_i\to W_{i-1})$ for any $i\geqslant 1$. We may assume $\mathrm{pd}_R\,\omega=n<\infty$ by assumption. Since $W_i\in {}_R\omega^{\perp}$ by [34, Lemma 2.5(1)], $\mathrm{Ext}^i_R(\omega,M)\cong\mathrm{Ext}^{i+n}_R(\omega,M_n)=0$ for any $i\geqslant 1$ and $M\in {}_R\omega^{\perp}$.

(2) Let $M\in {}_R\omega^{\perp}$ and $\mathrm{pd}_{S^{op}}\,\omega=n<\infty$. Then we get an exact sequence:

$$0\to\mathrm{co}\Omega^i(M)_*\to I^i(M)_*\to\mathrm{co}\Omega^{i+1}(M)_*\to 0$$

in $\operatorname{Mod} S$ for any $i \geqslant 0$. Note that $\operatorname{fd}_{S^{op}} \omega = \operatorname{pd}_{S^{op}} \omega = n$ because ω is finitely presented as a right S-module. Since $\operatorname{Tor}^S_{i\geqslant 1}(\omega, I_*) = 0$ for any injective left R-module I by [34, Lemma 2.5(2)], $\operatorname{Tor}^S_j(\omega, \operatorname{co\Omega}^i(M)_*) \cong \operatorname{Tor}^S_{j+n}(\omega, \operatorname{co\Omega}^{i+n}(M)_*) = 0$ for any $i \geqslant 0$ and $j \geqslant 1$; in particular, $\operatorname{Tor}^S_1(\omega, \operatorname{co\Omega}^2(M)_*) = 0$. Then we have the following diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \omega \otimes_S \operatorname{co\Omega}^1(M)_* & \longrightarrow & \omega \otimes_S I^1(M)_* \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \theta_{\operatorname{co\Omega}^1(M)}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \theta_{I^1(M)}} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{co\Omega}^1(M) & \longrightarrow & I^1(M). \end{array}$$

Because $\theta_{I^1(M)}$ is an isomorphism by [34, Lemma 2.5(2)], $\theta_{\operatorname{co\Omega}^1(M)}$ is a monomorphism. So $\operatorname{co\Omega}^1(M)$ is 2-ω-cotorsionfree by [34, Lemma 4.1(1)]. On the other hand, because $\operatorname{Tor}^S_1(\omega, \operatorname{co\Omega}^1(M)_*) = 0$ by the above argument, we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \omega \otimes_S M_* & \longrightarrow & \omega \otimes_S I^0(M)_* & \longrightarrow & \omega \otimes_S \operatorname{co\Omega}^1(M)_* & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \theta_M} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \theta_{I^0(M)}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \theta_{\operatorname{co\Omega}^1(M)}} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & I^0(M) & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{co\Omega}^1(M) & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Because $\theta_{I^0(M)}$ is an isomorphism by [34, Lemma 2.5(2)], applying the snake lemma we have that θ_M is also an isomorphism and M is 2-ω-cotorsionfree. So by [34, Corollary 3.8], there exists an exact sequence $0 \to M_1 \to W_0 \to M \to 0$ in $\operatorname{Mod} R$ with $W_0 \in \operatorname{Add}_R \omega$ and $\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(\omega, M_1) = 0$. Thus $M_1 \in {}_R\omega^\perp$ since $M \in {}_R\omega^\perp$. Then by a argument similar to the above, we get an exact sequence $0 \to M_2 \to W_1 \to M_1 \to 0$ in $\operatorname{Mod} R$ with $W_1 \in \operatorname{Add}_R \omega$ and $M_2 \in {}_R\omega^\perp$. Continuing this procedure, we get a proper $\operatorname{Add}_R \omega$-resolution:

$$\cdots \to W_n \to W_{n-1} \to \cdots \to W_0 \to M \to 0$$

of M in $\operatorname{Mod} R$. Thus $M \in \mathrm{c}\mathcal{T}(R)$ by [34, Proposition 3.7]. □

The following result extends [36, Corollary 2.16].

Corollary 3.2.

(1) *If* $\operatorname{pd}_R \omega < \infty$, *then* $\mathcal{B}_\omega(R) = \mathrm{c}\mathcal{T}(R)$.

(2) *If* $\operatorname{pd}_{S^{op}} \omega < \infty$, *then* $\mathcal{B}_\omega(R) = {}_R\omega^\perp$.

Proof. It is an immediate consequence of Proposition 3.1 and [34, Theorem 3.9]. □

We write $\operatorname{Ker} \operatorname{Ext}^{i\geqslant 1}_S(-, \omega^+) = \{N \in \operatorname{Mod} S \mid \operatorname{Ext}^{i\geqslant 1}_S(N, \omega^+) = 0\}$ and $\mathcal{H}(\omega) = \operatorname{Adst}(\omega) \bigcap \operatorname{Ker} \operatorname{Ext}^{i\geqslant 1}_S(-, \omega^+)$, where $(-)^+ = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(-, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ with $\mathbb{Z}$ the additive

group of integers and $\mathbb{Q}$ the additive group of rational numbers. In the following result, we provide a viewpoint from Morita equivalence for $\mathrm{c}\mathcal{T}(R)$.

Theorem 3.3. *There exists an equivalence of categories:*

$$\mathrm{c}\mathcal{T}(R) \underset{\omega\otimes_S -}{\overset{(-)_*}{\underset{\sim}{\rightleftarrows}}} \mathcal{H}(\omega).$$

Proof. According to [37, 2.4], the functors $(-)_*$ and $\omega \otimes_S -$ induce an equivalence between the category of all 2-ω-cotorsionfree modules and $\mathrm{Adst}(\omega)$. So it suffices to show that $(-)_*$ (resp. $\omega \otimes_S -$) maps $\mathrm{c}\mathcal{T}(R)$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}(\omega)$) to $\mathcal{H}(\omega)$ (resp. $\mathrm{c}\mathcal{T}(R)$).

Let $M \in \mathrm{c}\mathcal{T}(R)$. Then by [37, 2.4], we have $M_* \in \mathrm{Adst}(\omega)$. By [34, Proposition 3.7] there exists a proper $\mathrm{Add}_R\,\omega$-resolution:

$$\cdots \to W_n \to W_{n-1} \to \cdots \to W_0 \to M \to 0 \tag{3.1}$$

of M in $\mathrm{Mod}\,R$. Thus we get an exact sequence:

$$\cdots \to W_{n*} \to W_{n-1*} \to \cdots \to W_{0*} \to M_* \to 0$$

in $\mathrm{Mod}\,S$. Applying $\omega \otimes_S -$ to this exact sequence gives back the sequence (3.1). Then we get easily that $\mathrm{Tor}^S_{i\geqslant 1}(\omega, M_*) = 0$ because $\mathrm{Tor}^S_{i\geqslant 1}(\omega, W_{j*}) = 0$ for any $j \geqslant 0$ by [34, Lemma 2.5(2)]. It follows from the mixed isomorphism theorem that $\mathrm{Ext}^{i\geqslant 1}_S(M_*, \omega^+) \cong [\mathrm{Tor}^S_{i\geqslant 1}(\omega, M_*)]^+ = 0$. So $M_* \in \mathrm{Ker}\,\mathrm{Ext}^{i\geqslant 1}_S(-, \omega^+)$ and $M_* \in \mathcal{H}(\omega)$.

Conversely, let $N \in \mathcal{H}(\omega)$. Then $(\omega \otimes_S N)_* \cong N$. It follows from the mixed isomorphism theorem that $[\mathrm{Tor}^S_{i\geqslant 1}(\omega, (\omega \otimes_S N)_*)]^+ \cong [\mathrm{Tor}^S_{i\geqslant 1}(\omega, N)]^+ \cong \mathrm{Ext}^{i\geqslant 1}_S(N, \omega^+) = 0$ and $\mathrm{Tor}^S_{i\geqslant 1}(\omega, (\omega \otimes_S N)_*) = 0$. In addition, $\omega \otimes_S N$ is 2-ω-cotorsionfree by [37, 2.4]. Thus we conclude that $\omega \otimes_S N$ is ∞-ω-cotorsionfree by [34, Corollary 3.4]. □

Following [23], set

$$\mathcal{F}_\omega(R) = \{\omega \otimes_S F \mid F \text{ is flat in } \mathrm{Mod}\,S\},$$
$$\mathcal{P}_\omega(R) = \{\omega \otimes_S P \mid P \text{ is projective in } \mathrm{Mod}\,S\},$$
$$\mathcal{I}_\omega(S) = \{\mathrm{Hom}_R(\omega, I) \mid I \text{ is injective in } \mathrm{Mod}\,R\}.$$

The modules in $\mathcal{F}_\omega(R)$, $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)$ and $\mathcal{I}_\omega(S)$ are called **ω-flat**, **ω-projective** and **ω-injective** respectively. For a module $M \in \mathrm{Mod}\,R$, we use $\lim_M(R)$ to denote the subcategory of $\mathrm{Mod}\,R$ consisting of all modules isomorphic to direct summands of a direct limit of a family modules in which each is a finite direct sum of copies of M.

Proposition 3.4.

(1) $\mathcal{F}_\omega(R) = \lim_\omega(R)$

(2) $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R) = \operatorname{Add}_R \omega$.
(3) $\mathcal{I}_\omega(S) = \operatorname{Prod}_S E_*$ *with* ${}_RE$ *an injective cogenerator for* $\operatorname{Mod} R$.

Proof. (1) It is well known that a module in $\operatorname{Mod} S$ is flat if and only if it is in $\lim_S(S)$. Because the functor $\omega \otimes_S -$ commutes with direct limits, we get easily $\mathcal{F}_\omega(R) \subseteq \lim_\omega(R)$. Now let $M \in \lim_\omega(R)$. Then $M \in \mathcal{B}_\omega(R)$ by [23, Proposition 4.2(a)]. Because ${}_R\omega$ admits a degreewise finite R-projective resolution, $\operatorname{Hom}_R(\omega, -)$ commutes with direct limits. So $\operatorname{Hom}_R(\omega, M)$ is in $\lim_S(S)$, that is, $\operatorname{Hom}_R(\omega, M)$ is a flat left S-module. Then by [23, Lemma 5.1(a)], we have $M \in \mathcal{F}_\omega(R)$, and thus $\lim_\omega(R) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_\omega(R)$.

(2) and (3) See [29, Proposition 2.4]. □

The following result establishes the relation between the relative homological dimensions of a module M and the corresponding standard homological dimensions of M_*. It extends [32, Theorem 2.11].

Theorem 3.5.
(1) $\operatorname{fd}_S M_* \leqslant \mathcal{F}_\omega(R)\text{-}\operatorname{pd}_R M$ *for any* $M \in \operatorname{Mod} R$, *the equality holds if* $M \in \mathrm{c}\mathcal{T}(R)$.
(2) $\operatorname{pd}_S M_* \leqslant \mathcal{P}_\omega(R)\text{-}\operatorname{pd}_R M$ *for any* $M \in \operatorname{Mod} R$, *the equality holds if* $M \in \mathrm{c}\mathcal{T}(R)$.
(3) $\operatorname{id}_R \omega \otimes_S N \leqslant \mathcal{I}_\omega(S)\text{-}\operatorname{id}_S N$ *for any* $N \in \operatorname{Mod} S$, *the equality holds if* $N \in \mathcal{A}_\omega(S)$.

Proof. (1) Let $M \in \operatorname{Mod} R$ with $\mathcal{F}_\omega(R)\text{-}\operatorname{pd}_R M = n < \infty$. Then there exists an exact sequence:

$$0 \to L_n \to \cdots \to L_1 \to L_0 \to M \to 0 \tag{3.2}$$

in $\operatorname{Mod} R$ with all L_i in $\lim_\omega(R)$ by Proposition 3.4(1). Because ${}_R\omega$ admits a degreewise finite R-projective resolution, $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(\omega, -)$ commutes with direct limits for any $i \geqslant 0$. Also notice that $({}_R\omega)_* \cong S$ and $\omega \in {}_R\omega^\perp$, so we have that L_{i*} is in $\lim_S(S)$ (that is, L_{i*} is left S-flat) and $L_i \in {}_R\omega^\perp$ for any $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. Applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_R(\omega, -)$ to the exact sequence (3.2) we obtain the following exact sequence:

$$0 \to L_{n*} \to \cdots \to L_{1*} \to L_{0*} \to M_* \to 0$$

in $\operatorname{Mod} S$, and so $\operatorname{fd}_S M_* \leqslant n$.

(2) Let $M \in \operatorname{Mod} R$ with $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)\text{-}\operatorname{pd}_R M = n < \infty$. Then there exists an exact sequence:

$$0 \to \omega_n \to \cdots \to \omega_1 \to \omega_0 \to M \to 0 \tag{3.3}$$

in $\operatorname{Mod} R$ with all $\omega_i \in \operatorname{Add}_R \omega$ by Proposition 3.4(2). Because all ω_{i*} are projective left S-modules and $\operatorname{Add}_R \omega \subseteq {}_R\omega^\perp$ by [34, Lemma 2.5(1)], applying the functor $(-)_*$ to the exact sequence (3.3) we get the following exact sequence:

$$0 \to \omega_{n*} \to \cdots \to \omega_{1*} \to \omega_{0*} \to M_* \to 0$$

in $\operatorname{Mod} S$, and so $\operatorname{pd}_S M_* \leqslant n$.

Now suppose $M \in \mathrm{c}\mathcal{T}(R)$. Then $\omega \otimes_S M_* \cong M$. By [34, Corollary 3.4(3)], we have $\operatorname{Tor}^S_{i\geqslant 1}(\omega, M_*) = 0$. We will prove the equalities in (1) and (2) hold.

(1) Assume $\operatorname{fd}_S M_* = n < \infty$. Then there exists an exact sequence:

$$0 \to F_n \to \cdots \to F_1 \to F_0 \to M_* \to 0$$

in $\operatorname{Mod} S$ with all F_i flat. Applying the functor $\omega \otimes_S -$ to it we get an exact sequence:

$$0 \to \omega \otimes_S F_n \to \cdots \to \omega \otimes_S F_1 \to \omega \otimes_S F_0 \to \omega \otimes_S M_* (\cong M) \to 0$$

in $\operatorname{Mod} R$ with all $\omega \otimes_S F_i$ in $\mathcal{F}_\omega(R)$, so we have $\mathcal{F}_\omega(R)\text{-}\operatorname{pd}_R M \leqslant n$.

(2) Assume $\operatorname{pd}_S M_* = n < \infty$. Then there exists an exact sequence:

$$0 \to P_n \to \cdots \to P_1 \to P_0 \to M_* \to 0$$

in $\operatorname{Mod} S$ with all P_i projective. Applying the functor $\omega \otimes_S -$ to it we get an exact sequence:

$$0 \to \omega \otimes_S P_n \to \cdots \to \omega \otimes_S P_1 \to \omega \otimes_S P_0 \to \omega \otimes_S M_* (\cong M) \to 0$$

in $\operatorname{Mod} R$ with all $\omega \otimes_S P_i$ in $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)$, and so $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)\text{-}\operatorname{pd}_R M \leqslant n$.

(3) Let $N \in \operatorname{Mod} S$ with $\mathcal{I}_\omega(S)\text{-}\operatorname{id}_S N = n < \infty$ and ${}_R E$ be an injective cogenerator for $\operatorname{Mod} R$. Then there exists an exact sequence:

$$0 \to N \to I^0 \to I^1 \to \cdots \to I^n \to 0 \tag{3.4}$$

in $\operatorname{Mod} S$ with all I^i in $\operatorname{Prod}_S E_*$ by Proposition 3.4(3). Because ω_S admits a degreewise finite S-projective resolution, $\operatorname{Tor}^S_j(\omega, -)$ commutes with direct products for any $j \geqslant 0$. Then by [34, Lemma 2.5(2)], $\omega \otimes_S I^i (\in \operatorname{Prod}_R E)$ is injective in $\operatorname{Mod} R$ and $\operatorname{Tor}^S_{j\geqslant 1}(\omega, I^i) = 0$ for any $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. Applying the functor $\omega \otimes_S -$ to the exact sequence (3.4) we obtain the following exact sequence:

$$0 \to \omega \otimes_S N \to \omega \otimes_S I^0 \to \omega \otimes_S I^1 \to \cdots \to \omega \otimes_S I^n \to 0$$

in $\operatorname{Mod} R$, and so $\operatorname{id}_R \omega \otimes_S N \leqslant n$.

Now suppose $N \in \mathcal{A}_\omega(S)$. Then $N \cong (\omega \otimes_S N)_*$ and $\omega \otimes_S N \in {}_R\omega^\perp$. If $\operatorname{id}_R \omega \otimes_S N = n < \infty$, then there exists an exact sequence:

$$0 \to \omega \otimes_S N \to E^0 \to E^1 \to \cdots \to E^n \to 0$$

in $\operatorname{Mod} R$ with all E^i injective. Applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_R(\omega, -)$ to it we get an exact sequence:

$$0 \to (\omega \otimes_S N)_* (\cong N) \to {E^0}_* \to {E^1}_* \to \cdots \to {E^n}_* \to 0$$

in $\operatorname{Mod} S$ with all ${E^i}_* \in \mathcal{I}_\omega(S)$, and so $\mathcal{I}_\omega(S)\text{-}\operatorname{id}_S N \leqslant n$. □

For a subclass $\mathcal{X}$ of $\operatorname{Mod} R$, we write $\operatorname{id}_R \mathcal{X} := \sup\{\operatorname{id}_R X \mid X \in \mathcal{X}\}$. As an application of Theorem 3.5, we get the following

Proposition 3.6.

(1) $\sup\{\mathcal{F}_\omega(R)\text{-}\operatorname{pd}_R M \mid M \in \mathrm{c}\mathcal{T}(R) \text{ with } \mathcal{F}_\omega(R)\text{-}\operatorname{pd}_R M < \infty\} \leqslant \operatorname{id}_R \mathcal{F}_\omega(R)$.

(2) $\sup\{\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)\text{-}\operatorname{pd}_R M \mid M \in \mathrm{c}\mathcal{T}(R) \text{ with } \mathcal{P}_\omega(R)\text{-}\operatorname{pd}_R M < \infty\} \leqslant \operatorname{id}_R \mathcal{P}_\omega(R)$.

Proof. (1) Let $\operatorname{id}_R \mathcal{F}_\omega(R) = n < \infty$ and $M \in \mathrm{c}\mathcal{T}(R)$ with $\mathcal{F}_\omega(R)\text{-}\operatorname{pd}_R M = m < \infty$. By Theorem 3.5(1), $\operatorname{fd}_S M_* = m$ and there exists an exact sequence:

$$0 \to F_m \to Q_{m-1} \to \cdots \to Q_1 \to Q_0 \to M_* \to 0 \tag{3.5}$$

in $\operatorname{Mod} S$ with F_m flat and all Q_i projective. Because $\omega \otimes_S M_* \cong M$ and $\operatorname{Tor}^S_{j \geqslant 1}(\omega, M_*) = 0$ by [34, Corollary 3.4(3)], applying the functor $\omega \otimes_S -$ to the exact sequence (3.5), we get the following exact sequence:

$$0 \to \omega \otimes_S F_m \to \omega \otimes_S Q_{m-1} \to \cdots \to \omega \otimes_S Q_1 \to \omega \otimes_S Q_0 \to \omega \otimes_S M_* (\cong M) \to 0 \tag{3.6}$$

in $\operatorname{Mod} R$ with $\omega \otimes_S F_m$ in $\mathcal{F}_\omega(R)$ $(= \lim_\omega(R)$ by Proposition 3.4(1)) and all $\omega \otimes_S Q_i$ in $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)$ $(= \operatorname{Add}_R \omega$ by Proposition 3.4(2)). Notice that ${}_R\omega$ admits a degreewise finite R-projective resolution and $\omega \in {}_R\omega^\perp$, so $\operatorname{Ext}^{j \geqslant 1}_R(\omega \otimes_S Q_i, \omega \otimes_S F_m) = 0$ for any $0 \leqslant i \leqslant m-1$.

Suppose $m > n$. Because $\operatorname{id}_R \omega \otimes_S F_m \leqslant n$, it follows from the exact sequence (3.6) that $\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(K, \omega \otimes_S F_m) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^m_R(M, \omega \otimes_S F_m) = 0$, where $K = \operatorname{Coker}(\omega \otimes_S F_m \to \omega \otimes_S Q_{m-1})$. Thus the exact sequence $0 \to \omega \otimes_S F_m \to \omega \otimes_S Q_{m-1} \to K \to 0$ splits and $K \in \mathcal{P}_\omega(R) (\subseteq \mathcal{F}_\omega(R))$. It induces that $\mathcal{F}_\omega(R)\text{-}\operatorname{pd}_R M \leqslant m-1$, which is a contradiction. Thus we conclude that $m \leqslant n$.

(2) It is similar to the proof of (1), so we omit it. □

Note that ${}_R R_R$ is a semidualizing bimodule. Let R be a left noetherian ring and ${}_R\omega_S = {}_R R_R$. Then we have the following facts:

(1) $\mathcal{F}_\omega(R)$ and $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)$ are the subclasses of $\operatorname{Mod} R$ consisting of flat modules and projective modules respectively, and $\mathcal{F}_\omega(R)\text{-}\operatorname{pd}_R M = \operatorname{fd}_R M$ and $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)\text{-}\operatorname{pd}_R M = \operatorname{pd}_R M$ for any $M \in \operatorname{Mod} R$.

(2) $\operatorname{id}_R \mathcal{F}_\omega(R) = \operatorname{id}_R R$ and $\operatorname{id}_R \mathcal{P}_\omega(R) = \operatorname{id}_R R$ by [7, Theorem 1.1].

(3) $\mathrm{c}\mathcal{T}(R) = \operatorname{Mod} R$ by [34, Proposition 3.7].

So by Proposition 3.6, we immediately have the following

Corollary 3.7. *For a left noetherian ring R, we have*

(1) $\sup\{\mathrm{fd}_R M \mid M \in \operatorname{Mod} R \text{ with } \mathrm{fd}_R M < \infty\} \leqslant \mathrm{id}_R R$.

(2) ([7, Proposition 4.3]) $\sup\{\mathrm{pd}_R M \mid M \in \operatorname{Mod} R \text{ with } \mathrm{pd}_R M < \infty\} \leqslant \mathrm{id}_R R$.

In the rest of this section, for a module $M \in \operatorname{Mod} R$, in case $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)\text{-}\mathrm{pd}_R M < \infty$, we establish the relation between $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)\text{-}\mathrm{pd}_R M$ and some standard homological dimensions of related modules.

Lemma 3.8. *If $M \in \mathrm{c}\mathcal{T}(R)$ and $N \in {}_R\omega^\perp$, then for any $i \geqslant 0$, we have an isomorphism of abelian groups:*

$$\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M, N) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^i_S(M_*, N_*).$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on i.

Let $i = 0$. Since $M \in \mathrm{c}\mathcal{T}(R)$, $\omega \otimes_S M_* \cong M$. It follows from the adjoint isomorphism theorem that $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M, N) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_R(\omega \otimes_S M_*, N) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_S(M_*, N_*)$. Indeed the isomorphism is natural in M and N.

Now suppose $i \geqslant 1$. The induction hypothesis implies that there exists a natural isomorphism:

$$\operatorname{Ext}^j_R(L, H) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^j_S(L_*, H_*)$$

for any $L \in \mathrm{c}\mathcal{T}(R)$, $H \in {}_R\omega^\perp$ and $0 \leqslant j \leqslant i-1$. Because $N \in {}_R\omega^\perp$ by assumption, $\mathrm{co}\Omega^1(N) \in {}_R\omega^\perp$ and we have an exact sequence:

$$0 \to N_* \to I^0(N)_* \to \mathrm{co}\Omega^1(N)_* \to 0.$$

Applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_S(M_*, -)$ to it yields a commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\operatorname{Ext}^{i-1}_R(M, I^0(N)) & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Ext}^{i-1}_R(M, \mathrm{co}\Omega^1(N)) & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M, N) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
\operatorname{Ext}^{i-1}_S(M_*, I^0(N)_*) & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Ext}^{i-1}_S(M_*, \mathrm{co}\Omega^1(N)_*) & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Ext}^i_S(M_*, N_*) & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Ext}^i_S(M_*, I^0(N)_*).
\end{array}$$

By the induction hypothesis, the first two columns in the above diagram are natural isomorphisms. Since $M \in \mathrm{c}\mathcal{T}(R)$ by assumption, by the mixed isomorphism theorem and [34, Corollary 3.4(3)] we have $\operatorname{Ext}^i_S(M_*, I^0(N)_*) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_R(\operatorname{Tor}^i_S(\omega, M_*), I^0(N)) = 0$. It follows that $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M, N) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^i_S(M_*, N_*)$ naturally. □

We also need the following criterion.

Lemma 3.9. *Let $M \in \operatorname{Mod} R$ admit a degreewise finite R-projective resolution. If $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)\text{-}\mathrm{pd}_R M < \infty$, then $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)\text{-}\mathrm{pd}_R M = \sup\{i \geqslant 0 \mid \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M, \omega) \neq 0\}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)\text{-pd}_R M = n < \infty$ and

$$0 \to \omega_n \to \cdots \to \omega_1 \to \omega_0 \to M \to 0$$

be an exact sequence in $\operatorname{Mod} R$ with all ω_i in $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)(= \operatorname{Add}_R \omega)$. It is easy to see that $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M, \omega) = 0$ for $i \geqslant n+1$. Put $M_{n-1} = \operatorname{Coker}(\omega_n \to \omega_{n-1})$.

If $\operatorname{Ext}^n_R(M, \omega) = 0$, then by [20, Lemma 3.1.6], we have that $\operatorname{Ext}^n_R(M, \omega_i) = 0$ and $\operatorname{Ext}^{\geqslant 1}_R(\omega_j, \omega_i) = 0$ for any $0 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n$. So $\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(M_{n-1}, \omega_n) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^n_R(M, \omega_n) = 0$ and the exact sequence:

$$0 \to \omega_n \to \omega_{n-1} \to M_{n-1} \to 0$$

splits. It implies that $M_{n-1} \in \mathcal{P}_\omega(R)$ and $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)\text{-pd}_R M \leqslant n-1$, which is a contradiction. So we conclude that $\operatorname{Ext}^n_R(M, \omega) \neq 0$. □

Now we are in a position to give the following

Proposition 3.10. *Let $M \in \operatorname{Mod} R$ admit a degreewise finite R-projective resolution. If $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)\text{-pd}_R M < \infty$, then $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)\text{-pd}_R M \leqslant \min\{\operatorname{id}_R \omega, \operatorname{id}_S S, \operatorname{pd}_R M, \operatorname{pd}_S M_*\}$.*

Proof. Let $M \in \operatorname{Mod} R$ with $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)\text{-pd}_R M < \infty$. Then $M \in \mathrm{c}\mathcal{T}(R)$ by [34, Proposition 3.7]. So $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M, \omega) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^i_S(M_*, \omega_*) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^i_S(M_*, S)$ for any $i \geqslant 0$ by Lemma 3.8, and hence $\sup\{i \geqslant 0 \mid \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M, \omega) \neq 0\} \leqslant \min\{\operatorname{id}_R \omega, \operatorname{id}_S S, \operatorname{pd}_R M, \operatorname{pd}_S M_*\}$. Now the assertion follows from Lemma 3.9. □

The following example shows that the finiteness of $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)\text{-pd}_R M$ is necessary for the conclusion of Proposition 3.10.

Example 3.11. Let G be a finite group and k a field such that the characteristic of k divides $|G|$. Take $R = S = \omega = kG$. By [5, Theorem 3.3 and Propositon 3.10], the group algebra kG is a non-semisimple symmetric artin algebra. Then $\operatorname{id}_R \omega = 0$ and there exists a kG-module M with $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)\text{-pd}_R M$ infinite.

4. The Bass Injective Dimension of Modules

For a module M in $\operatorname{Mod} R$, we study in this section the properties of the **Bass injective dimension** $\mathcal{B}_\omega(R)\text{-id}_R M$ of M. We begin with the following easy observation.

Lemma 4.1. *For any $M \in \operatorname{Mod} R$, if $\mathcal{B}_\omega(R)\text{-id}_R M < \infty$ and $M \in {}_R\omega^\perp$, then $M \in \mathcal{B}_\omega(R)$.*

Proof. It is easy to get the assertion by using induction on $\mathcal{B}_\omega(R)\text{-id}_R M$. □

Now we give some criteria for computing $\mathcal{B}_\omega(R)\text{-id}_R M$ in terms of the vanishing of Ext-functors and some special approximations of M.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $M \in \operatorname{Mod} R$ with $\mathcal{B}_\omega(R)\text{-id}_R M < \infty$ and $n \geqslant 0$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(1) $\mathcal{B}_\omega(R)\text{-id}_R M \leqslant n$.
(2) $\operatorname{co}\Omega^m(M) \in \mathcal{B}_\omega(R)$ *for* $m \geqslant n$.
(3) $\operatorname{Ext}_R^{\geqslant n+1}(\omega, M) = 0$.
(4) *There exists an exact sequence:*

$$0 \to M \to X^M \to W^M \to 0$$

in $\operatorname{Mod} R$ *such that* $X^M \in \mathcal{B}_\omega(R)$ *and* $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)\text{-id}_R W^M \leqslant n-1$.
(5) *There exists an exact sequence:*

$$0 \to X_M \to W_M \to M \to 0$$

in $\operatorname{Mod} R$ *such that* $X_M \in \mathcal{B}_\omega(R)$ *and* $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)\text{-id}_R W_M \leqslant n$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) follows from [23, Theorem 6.2] and [25, Theorem 4.8], (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) follows from the dimension shifting, and (4) $\Rightarrow$ (1) follows from the fact that $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_\omega(R)$.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Let $M \in \operatorname{Mod} R$ with $\mathcal{B}_\omega(R)\text{-id}_R M < \infty$. Then $\mathcal{B}_\omega(R)\text{-id}_R \operatorname{co}\Omega^n(M) < \infty$ by [23, Theorem 6.2] and [25, Theorem 4.8]. If $\operatorname{Ext}_R^{\geqslant n+1}(\omega, M) = 0$, then $\operatorname{co}\Omega^n(M) \in {}_R\omega^\perp$, and so $\operatorname{co}\Omega^n(M) \in \mathcal{B}_\omega(R)$ by Lemma 4.1. It follows that $\mathcal{B}_\omega(R)\text{-id}_R M \leqslant n$.

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (4) By [23, Theorem 6.2], $\mathcal{B}_\omega(R)$ is closed under extensions. By [34, Proposition 3.7], it is easy to see that $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)(= \operatorname{Add}_R \omega)$ is a $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)$-proper generator for $\mathcal{B}_\omega(R)$. Then the assertion follows from [25, Theorem 3.7].

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (5) Assume that exists an exact sequence:

$$0 \to M \to X^M \to W^M \to 0$$

in $\operatorname{Mod} R$ such that $X^M \in \mathcal{B}_\omega(R)$ and $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)\text{-id}_R W^M \leqslant n-1$. By [34, Proposition 3.7], there exists an exact sequence:

$$0 \to X' \to W_0 \to X^M \to 0$$

in $\operatorname{Mod} R$ with $W_0 \in \mathcal{P}_\omega(R)$ and $X' \in \mathcal{B}_\omega(R)$. Now consider the following pull-back diagram:



Then the leftmost column in the above diagram is the desired sequence.

(5) $\Rightarrow$ (4) Assume that there exists an exact sequence:

$$0 \to X_M \to W_M \to M \to 0$$

in $\operatorname{Mod} R$ such that $X_M \in \mathcal{B}_\omega(R)$ and $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)\text{-id}_R\, W_M \leqslant n$. Then there exists an exact sequence:

$$0 \to W_M \to W^0 \to W' \to 0$$

in $\operatorname{Mod} R$ with $W^0 \in \mathcal{P}_\omega(R)$ and $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)\text{-id}_R\, W' \leqslant n-1$. Consider the following push-out diagram:



It follows from [23, Theorem 6.2] and the exactness of middle row in the above diagram that $X \in \mathcal{B}_\omega(R)$. So the rightmost column in the above diagram is the desired sequence. $\square$

Remark 4.3. The only place where the assumption that $\mathcal{B}_\omega(R)\text{-id}_R\, M < \infty$ in Theorem 4.2 is used is in showing (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1).

If the given semidualizing module ${}_R\omega_S$ is faithful, then a module in $\operatorname{Mod} R$ with finite Bass injective dimension is in $\mathcal{B}_\omega(R)$ by [23, Theorem 6.3]. However, this property does not hold true in general.

Example 4.4. Let Λ be a finite-dimensional algebra over an algebraically closed field given by the quiver:

$$1\circ \longrightarrow \circ 2$$

Put $\omega = I(1) \oplus I(2)$. Then ${}_\Lambda\omega_\Lambda$ is a semidualizing bimodule, but non-faithful since $\operatorname{Hom}_\Lambda(\omega, S(2)) = 0$. We have an exact sequence $0 \to S(2) \to I(2) \to I(1) \to 0$ in $\operatorname{Mod}\Lambda$. Both $I(1)$ and $I(2)$ are obviously in $\mathcal{B}_\omega(\Lambda)$. But $S(2)$ is not in $\mathcal{B}_\omega(\Lambda)$ because $S(2)$ is not 2-ω-cotorsionfree.

Motivated by [27, Definition 2.4 and Lemma 2.5], we introduce the following

Definition 4.5. A semidualizing bimodule ${}_R\omega_S$ is called **left** (resp. **right**) **semi-tilting** if $\operatorname{pd}_R \omega < \infty$ (resp. $\operatorname{pd}_{S^{op}} \omega < \infty$).

In the following, we will give an equivalent characterization of right semi-tilting bimodules in terms of the finiteness of the Bass injective dimension of ${}_RR$. We need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 4.6. *Let $M \in \operatorname{Mod} R$ with $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)\text{-id}_R\, M \leqslant n(< \infty)$. If $K \in \operatorname{Mod} R$ is isomorphic to a direct summand of M, then $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)\text{-id}_R\, K \leqslant n$.*

Proof. Note that $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R) = \operatorname{Add}_R \omega$ by Proposition 3.4(2). It is clear that $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R) \subseteq {}^\perp\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)$. In addition, it is not difficult to verify that $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)$ is $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)$-coresolving in $\operatorname{Mod} R$ with $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)$ a $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)$-coproper cogenerator in the sense of [25]. Now the assertion follows from [25, Corollary 4.9]. $\square$

We use $\operatorname{add}_R \omega$ to denote the subclass of $\operatorname{Mod} R$ consisting of direct summands of finite direct sums of copies of ω.

Lemma 4.7. *Let $M \in \operatorname{Mod} R$ be finitely generated and $n \geqslant 0$. If $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)\text{-id}_R\, M \leqslant n$, then there exists an exact sequence:*

$$0 \to M \to \omega^0 \to \omega^1 \to \cdots \to \omega^n \to 0$$

in $\operatorname{Mod} R$ with all ω^i in $\operatorname{add}_R \omega$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)\text{-id}_R\, M \leqslant n$ and

$$0 \to M \xrightarrow{\alpha^0} D^0 \xrightarrow{\alpha^1} D^1 \xrightarrow{\alpha^2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\alpha^n} D^n \to 0 \tag{4.1}$$

be an exact sequence in $\operatorname{Mod} R$ with all D^i in $\operatorname{Add}_R \omega$ $(= \mathcal{P}_\omega(R))$. Put $K^i = \operatorname{Im} \alpha^i$ for any $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. There exists a module $G^0 \in \operatorname{Add}_R \omega$ such that $D^0 \oplus G^0$ is a direct sum of copies of ω, so we get a $\operatorname{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{P}_\omega(R))$-exact exact sequence:

$$0 \to M \xrightarrow{\beta^0} D^0 \oplus G^0 \xrightarrow{\beta^1} D^1 \oplus G^0 \xrightarrow{\beta^2} D^2 \xrightarrow{\alpha^3} \cdots \xrightarrow{\alpha^n} D^n \to 0,$$

where $\beta^0 = \binom{\alpha^0}{0}$, $\beta^1 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1_{G^0} \end{pmatrix}$ and $\beta^2 = (\alpha^2, 0)$. Then $\operatorname{Im} \beta^1 = K^1 \oplus G^0$ and $\operatorname{Im} \beta^2 = K^2$. Because M is finitely generated by assumption, there exist $\omega^0 \in \operatorname{add}_R \omega$ and $H^0 \in \operatorname{Add}_R \omega$ such that $D^0 \oplus G^0 = \omega^0 \oplus H^0$ and $\operatorname{Im} \alpha^0 \subseteq \omega^0$. So we get an exact sequence:

$$0 \to M \to \omega^0 \to L^0 \to 0 \tag{4.2}$$

in $\operatorname{Mod} R$ with $L^0 \oplus H^0 = \operatorname{Im} \beta^1$.

Consider the following push-out diagram with the middle row $\operatorname{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{P}_\omega(R))$-exact exact and the leftmost column splitting:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\
 & & H^0 & = & H^0 & & & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\
0 & \to & \operatorname{Im} \beta^1 & \to & D^1 \oplus G^0 & \to & K^2 & \to & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \| & & \\
0 & \to & L^0 & \to & X^1 & \to & K^2 & \to & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0. & & & &
\end{array}$$

Then the middle column in the above diagram is $\operatorname{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{P}_\omega(R))$-exact exact. From the proof of Lemma 4.6, we know that $\operatorname{Add}_R \omega$ $(= \mathcal{P}_\omega(R))$ is $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)$-coresolving in $\operatorname{Mod} R$. So $X^1 \in \operatorname{Add}_R \omega$. Combining the exact sequences (4.1), (4.2) with the bottom row in the above diagram, we get an exact sequence:

$$0 \to M \to \omega^0 \to X^1 \to D^2 \xrightarrow{\alpha^3} \cdots \xrightarrow{\alpha^n} D^n \to 0$$

in $\operatorname{Mod} R$ with $\omega^0 \in \operatorname{add}_R \omega$ and $X^1 \in \operatorname{Add}_R \omega$. Repeating the above argument with $\operatorname{Im}(\omega^0 \to X^1)$ replacing M, we get an exact sequence:

$$0 \to M \to \omega^0 \to \omega^1 \to X^2 \to D^3 \xrightarrow{\alpha^4} \cdots \xrightarrow{\alpha^n} D^n \to 0$$

in $\operatorname{Mod} R$ with $\omega^0, \omega^1 \in \operatorname{add}_R \omega$ and $X^2 \in \operatorname{Add}_R \omega$. Continuing this procedure, we finally get an exact sequence:

$$0 \to M \to \omega^0 \to \omega^1 \to \cdots \to \omega^n \to 0$$

in $\operatorname{Mod} R$ with all ω^i in $\operatorname{add}_R \omega$. □

We are now in a position to prove the following

Theorem 4.8.

(1) *If ${}_R\omega_S$ is right semi-tilting, then $\mathcal{B}_\omega(R) = {}_R\omega^\perp$.*

(2) *If S is a left coherent ring, then ${}_R\omega_S$ is right semi-tilting with $\operatorname{pd}_{S^{op}} \omega \leqslant n$ if and only if $\mathcal{B}_\omega(R)\text{-}\operatorname{id}_R R \leqslant n$.*

Proof. (1) It follows from Corollary 3.2 and [34, Theorem 3.9].

(2) It is easy to see that $\mathcal{B}_\omega(R)\text{-}\operatorname{id}_R R \leqslant \mathcal{P}_\omega(R)\text{-}\operatorname{id}_R R = \operatorname{pd}_{S^{op}} \omega$. Now the necessity is clear. Conversely, if $\mathcal{B}_\omega(R)\text{-}\operatorname{id}_R R = n < \infty$, then by Theorem 4.2, there exists a split exact sequence:

$$0 \to X \to W \to R \to 0$$

in $\operatorname{Mod} R$ such that $X \in \mathcal{B}_\omega(R)$ and $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)\text{-}\operatorname{id}_R W \leqslant n$. So $W \cong X \oplus R$ and $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)\text{-}\operatorname{id}_R R \leqslant n$ by Lemma 4.6. It follows from Lemma 4.7 that there exists an exact sequence:

$$0 \to R \to \omega^0 \to \omega^1 \to \cdots \to \omega^n \to 0$$

in $\operatorname{Mod} R$ with all ω^i in $\operatorname{add}_R \omega$. Applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_R(-, \omega)$ to it we get the following exact sequence:

$$0 \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(\omega^n, \omega) \to \cdots \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(\omega^1, \omega) \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(\omega^0, \omega) \to \omega \to 0$$

in $\operatorname{Mod} S^{op}$ with all $\operatorname{Hom}_R(\omega^i, \omega)$ projective. So ${}_R\omega_S$ is right semi-tilting with $\operatorname{pd}_{S^{op}} \omega \leqslant n$. □

Compare the following result with Lemma 3.9.

Corollary 4.9. *If ${}_R\omega_S$ is left and right semi-tilting, then for every $M \in \operatorname{Mod} R$, $\mathcal{B}_\omega(R)\text{-}\operatorname{id}_R M = \sup\{i \geqslant 0 \mid \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(\omega, M) \neq 0\} < \infty$.*

Proof. Let ${}_R\omega_S$ be left and right semi-tilting. Then $\operatorname{pd}_R \omega < \infty$ and $\operatorname{pd}_{S^{op}} \omega < \infty$. Put $\sup\{i \geqslant 0 \mid \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(\omega, M) \neq 0\} = n$. Then $n < \infty$. It is easy to see that ${}_R\omega^\perp\text{-}\operatorname{id}_R M \geqslant n$. So $\mathcal{B}_\omega(R)\text{-}\operatorname{id}_R M \geqslant n$ by Theorem 4.8(1).

We will use induction on n to prove $\mathcal{B}_\omega(R)\text{-}\operatorname{id}_R M \leqslant n$. If $n = 0$, then $M \in {}_R\omega^\perp$. It follows from Theorem 4.8(1) that $M \in \mathcal{B}_\omega(R)$. Now suppose $n \geqslant 1$. Then $\sup\{i \geqslant 0 \mid \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(\omega, \operatorname{co}\Omega^1(M)) \neq 0\} = n - 1$. So $\mathcal{B}_\omega(R)\text{-}\operatorname{id}_R \operatorname{co}\Omega^1(M) = n - 1$ by the induction hypothesis, and hence $\mathcal{B}_\omega(R)\text{-}\operatorname{id}_R M \leqslant n$. □

5. The Bass Injective Dimension of Complexes

In this section, we extend the Bass injective dimension of modules to that of complexes in derived categories. A **cochain complex** $M^\bullet$ is a sequence of modules and morphisms in $\operatorname{Mod} R$ of the form:

$$\cdots \to M^{n-1} \xrightarrow{d^{n-1}} M^n \xrightarrow{d^n} M^{n+1} \to \cdots$$

such that $d^n d^{n-1} = 0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and the **shifted complex** $M^\bullet[m]$ is the complex with $M^\bullet[m]^n = M^{m+n}$ and $d^n_{M^\bullet[m]} = (-1)^m d^n_{m+n}$. Any $M \in \operatorname{Mod} R$ can be considered as a complex having M in its 0-th spot and 0 in its other spots. We use $\mathbf{C}(R)$ and $\mathbf{D}^b(R)$ to denote the category of cochain complexes and the derived category of complexes with bounded finite homologies of $\operatorname{Mod} R$, respectively. According to [11, Appendix], the **supremum**, the **infimum** and the **amplitude** of a complex $M^\bullet$ are defined as follows:

$$\sup M^\bullet = \sup\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid H^n(M^\bullet) \neq 0\},$$

$$\inf M^\bullet = \inf\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid H^n(M^\bullet) \neq 0\},$$

$$\operatorname{amp} M^\bullet = \sup M^\bullet - \inf M^\bullet.$$

The Auslander category with respect to a dualizing complex was defined in [13]. Dually we define the Bass class of complexes with respect to ω as follows.

Definition 5.1. A full subcategory $\mathcal{B}^\bullet_\omega(R)$ of $\mathbf{D}^b(R)$ consisting of complexes $M^\bullet$ is called the **Bass class** with respect to ω if the following conditions are satisfied:

(1) $\mathbf{R}\operatorname{Hom}_R(\omega, M^\bullet) \in \mathbf{D}^b(R)$.
(2) $\omega \otimes^{\mathbf{L}}_S \mathbf{R}\operatorname{Hom}_R(\omega, M^\bullet) \to M^\bullet$ is an isomorphism in $\mathbf{D}^b(R)$.

Let $M^\bullet \in \mathbf{C}(R)$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The **hard left-truncation** $\sqsubset^n M^\bullet$ of $M^\bullet$ at n is given by:

$$\sqsubset^n M^\bullet := \cdots \to 0 \to 0 \to M^n \xrightarrow{d^n} M^{n+1} \xrightarrow{d^{n+1}} M^{n+2} \to \cdots.$$

Let $M^\bullet \in \mathbf{D}^b(R)$ with $H(M^\bullet) \neq 0$ and $\inf M^\bullet = i$. Taking an injective resolution $I^\bullet$ of $M^\bullet$. We define the **injective complex** $vI^\bullet = (\sqsubset^{i+1} I^\bullet)[1]$, which is unique up to an injective summand in degree i. In general, we have that $H^t(vI^\bullet) \cong H^t(I^\bullet[1])$ if $t \geqslant i+1$. In particular, when $M^\bullet$ is a module M, $vI^\bullet$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{co}\Omega^1(M)$ in $\mathbf{D}^b(R)$.

Remark 5.2. (1) Let $M^\bullet \in \mathbf{D}^b(R)$. We see from the definition of $vI^\bullet$ that there exists a distinguished triangle in $\mathbf{D}^b(R)$ of the form:

$$vI^\bullet[-1] \to M^\bullet \to I^i[-i] \to vI^\bullet.$$

(2) It is routine to check that $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{\omega}(R)$ forms a triangulated subcategory of $\mathbf{D}^b(R)$. Thus for an injective complex $I^{\bullet}$, $I^{\bullet} \in \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{\omega}(R)$ if and only if $vI^{\bullet} \in \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{\omega}(R)$.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $M \in \operatorname{Mod} R$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(1) $\mathcal{B}_{\omega}(R)\text{-}\operatorname{id}_R M < \infty$.
(2) $M \in \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{\omega}(R)$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Let $\mathcal{B}_{\omega}(R)\text{-}\operatorname{id}_R M < \infty$ and

$$0 \to M \to Y^0 \to Y^1 \to \cdots \to Y^n \to 0$$

be an exact sequence in $\operatorname{Mod} R$ with all Y^i in $\mathcal{B}_{\omega}(R)$. Then by Remark 5.2(2) and [22, p.41, Corollary 7.22], we have $M \in \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{\omega}(R)$.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Let $M \in \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{\omega}(R)$ and $I^{\bullet}$ be an injective resolution of M. Then $I^{\bullet} \in \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{\omega}(R)$ and $\mathbf{R}\operatorname{Hom}_R(\omega, M) \in \mathbf{D}^b(R)$. Put $s = \sup \mathbf{R}\operatorname{Hom}_R(\omega, M)$. Because $H^i(\mathbf{R}\operatorname{Hom}_R(\omega, v^s I^{\bullet})) \cong H^{i+s}(\mathbf{R}\operatorname{Hom}_R(\omega, I^{\bullet})) = 0$ for any $i \geqslant 1$. It implies that $\operatorname{co\Omega}^s(M) \in {}_R\omega^{\perp}$. By Remark 5.2(2) we have that $v^s I^{\bullet} \cong \operatorname{co\Omega}^s(M)$ and $v^s I^{\bullet} \in \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{\omega}(R)$, so $\operatorname{co\Omega}^s(M) \in \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{\omega}(R)$, and hence $\omega \otimes^{\mathbf{L}}_S \mathbf{R}\operatorname{Hom}_R(\omega, \operatorname{co\Omega}^s(M)) \to \operatorname{co\Omega}^s(M)$ is an isomorphism in $\mathbf{D}^b(R)$, equivalently $\omega \otimes_S \operatorname{co\Omega}^s(M)_* \cong \operatorname{co\Omega}^s(M)$ and $\operatorname{Tor}^S_{i\geqslant 1}(\omega, \operatorname{co\Omega}^s(M)_*) = 0$. It follows that $\operatorname{co\Omega}^s(M) \in \mathcal{B}_{\omega}(R)$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\omega}(R)\text{-}\operatorname{id}_R M \leqslant s$. □

We define the Bass injective dimension of complexes in $\mathbf{D}^b(R)$ as follows.

Definition 5.4. Let $M^{\bullet}$ be a complex in $\mathbf{D}^b(R)$. We define the **Bass injective dimension** of $M^{\bullet}$ as

$$\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{\omega}(R)\text{-}\operatorname{id} M^{\bullet} := \begin{cases} \sup \mathbf{R}\operatorname{Hom}_R(\omega, M^{\bullet}) & \text{if } M^{\bullet} \in \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{\omega}(R), \\ +\infty & \text{if } M^{\bullet} \notin \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{\omega}(R). \end{cases}$$

In the following result, we give an equivalent characterization when the Bass injective dimension of complexes is finite.

Theorem 5.5. *Let $M^{\bullet}$ be a complex in $\mathbf{D}^b(R)$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(1) $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{\omega}(R)\text{-}\operatorname{id} M^{\bullet} < \infty$.
(2) *There exists an isomorphism $M^{\bullet} \to Y^{\bullet}$ in $\mathbf{D}^b(R)$ with $Y^{\bullet}$ a bounded complex consisting of modules in $\mathcal{B}_{\omega}(R)$.*

Proof. (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) The assertion follows from the fact that a complex $Y^{\bullet}$ of finite length consisting of modules in $\mathcal{B}_{\omega}(R)$ is in $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{\omega}(R)$.

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Let $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{\omega}(R)\text{-}\operatorname{id} M^{\bullet} < \infty$. Then $M^{\bullet} \in \mathcal{B}^{\bullet}_{\omega}(R)$. We will proceed by induction on $\operatorname{amp} M^{\bullet}$. If $\operatorname{amp} M^{\bullet} = 0$, then there exists $T \in \operatorname{Mod} R$ such that

$M^\bullet \cong T[-s]$, where $s = \sup M^\bullet$. Since $\mathcal{B}_\omega(R)\text{-id}_R\, T < \infty$ by Lemma 5.3, we have a quasi-isomorphism $T \to Y^\bullet$ with

$$Y^\bullet := \cdots 0 \to Y^0 \to Y^1 \to \cdots \to Y^n \to 0 \to \cdots$$

a bounded complex and all Y^i in $\mathcal{B}_\omega(R)$. Then the complex $Y^\bullet[-s]$ is the desired complex.

Now suppose $\operatorname{amp} M^\bullet \geqslant 1$. By Remark 5.2(1), there exists a distinguished triangle:

$$vI^\bullet[-1] \to M^\bullet \to I^i[-i] \xrightarrow{\alpha} vI^\bullet$$

in $\mathbf{D}^b(R)$. Since $\operatorname{amp} vI^\bullet < \operatorname{amp} M^\bullet$, by the induction hypothesis, there exists an isomorphism $\beta : vI^\bullet \to Y_1^\bullet$ in $\mathbf{D}^b(R)$ with $Y_1^\bullet$ a bounded complex consisting of modules in $\mathcal{B}_\omega(R)$. Thus we get another triangle:

$$vI^\bullet[-1] \to M^\bullet \to I^i[-i] \xrightarrow{\beta\alpha} Y_1^\bullet$$

in $\mathbf{D}^b(R)$. Furthermore, we have a triangle:

$$I^i[-i] \xrightarrow{\beta\alpha} Y_1^\bullet \to M^\bullet[1] \to I^i[-i+1]$$

in $\mathbf{D}^b(R)$. Let $Y_2^\bullet$ be the mapping cone of $\beta\alpha$. Then there exists an isomorphism $M^\bullet[1] \to Y_2^\bullet$ in $\mathbf{D}^b(R)$. Put $Y^\bullet = Y_2^\bullet[-1]$. Then $Y^\bullet$ has finite length and all spots in $Y^\bullet$ are in $\mathcal{B}_\omega(R)$, and so $Y^\bullet$ is the desired complex. □

Let Λ be an artin R-algebra over a commutative artin ring R. We denote by D the ordinary Matlis duality, that is, $D(-) := \operatorname{Hom}_R(-, E^0(R/J(R)))$, where $J(R)$ is the Jacobson radical of R and $E^0(R/J(R))$ is the injective envelope of $R/J(R)$. It is easy to verify that (Λ, Λ)-bimodule $D(\Lambda)$ is semidualizing. Recall that Λ is called **Gorenstein** if $\operatorname{id}_\Lambda \Lambda = \operatorname{id}_{\Lambda^{op}} \Lambda < \infty$. As an application of Theorem 5.5, we get the following

Corollary 5.6. *Let Λ be an artin algebra. Then the following statements are equivalent for any $n \geqslant 0$.*

(1) *Λ is Gorenstein with $\operatorname{id}_\Lambda \Lambda = \operatorname{id}_{\Lambda^{op}} \Lambda \leqslant n$.*

(2) *For any simple module $T \in \operatorname{Mod}\Lambda$, $\mathcal{B}^\bullet_{D(\Lambda)}(\Lambda)\text{-id}_\Lambda\, T \leqslant n$.*

(3) *For any simple module $T \in \operatorname{Mod}\Lambda$, there exists a quasi-isomorphism $T \to Y^\bullet$ with $Y^\bullet$ a bounded complex of length at most $n+1$ consisting of modules in $\mathcal{B}_{D(\Lambda)}(\Lambda)$.*

(4) *For any simple module $T \in \operatorname{Mod}\Lambda$, there exists an exact sequence:*

$$0 \to T \to X^T \to W^T \to 0$$

in $\operatorname{Mod}\Lambda$ such that $X^T \in \mathcal{B}_{D(\Lambda)}(\Lambda)$ and $\operatorname{id}_\Lambda W^T \leqslant n-1$.

(5) *For any simple module* $T \in \operatorname{Mod}\Lambda$*, there exists an exact sequence:*

$$0 \to X_T \to W_T \to T \to 0$$

in $\operatorname{Mod}\Lambda$ *such that* $X^T \in \mathcal{B}_{D(\Lambda)}(\Lambda)$ *and* $\operatorname{id}_\Lambda W_T \leqslant n$.

Proof. By Theorem 4.2, we have (2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (4) $\Leftrightarrow$ (5). By Theorem 5.5, we have (2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3).

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Let $T \in \operatorname{Mod}\Lambda$ be simple. Since Λ is Gorenstein with $\operatorname{id}_\Lambda \Lambda = \operatorname{id}_{\Lambda^{op}} \Lambda \leqslant n$, it follows from [16, Theorem 12.3.1] that $\operatorname{co}\Omega^n(T)$ is Gorenstein injective. Then $\operatorname{co}\Omega^n(T) \in \mathcal{B}_{D(\Lambda)}(\Lambda)$ by [34, Corollary 5.2 and Theorem 3.9]. Now the assertion follows from Lemma 5.3.

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Let $T \in \operatorname{Mod}\Lambda$ be simple. Then by (4) and [34, Theorem 3.9 and Corollary 4.2], $\mathcal{GI}(\Lambda)\text{-}\operatorname{id}_\Lambda T \leqslant n$. So $\sup\{\mathcal{GP}(\Lambda)\text{-}\operatorname{pd}_\Lambda M \mid M \in \operatorname{Mod}\Lambda\} = \sup\{\mathcal{GI}(\Lambda)\text{-}\operatorname{id}_\Lambda M \mid M \in \operatorname{Mod}\Lambda\} \leqslant n$ by [9, Theorem 1.1] and [33, Theorem 2.1]. It follows from [26, Theorem 1.4] that Λ is Gorenstein with $\operatorname{id}_\Lambda \Lambda = \operatorname{id}_{\Lambda^{op}} \Lambda \leqslant n$. □

6. **A Dual of Auslander-Bridger's Approximation Theorem**

In this section, we first obtain a dual version of the Auslander-Bridger's approximation theorem, and then give its several applications. We begin with the following

Lemma 6.1. ([37, Proposition 2.2])

(1) *For any* $X \in \operatorname{Mod} R$*, we have* $(\theta_X)_* \cdot \mu_{X_*} = 1_{X_*}$.
(2) *For any* $Y \in \operatorname{Mod} S$*, we have* $\theta_{\omega \otimes_S Y} \cdot (1_\omega \otimes \mu_Y) = 1_{\omega \otimes_S Y}$.

For any $n \geqslant 0$, recall from [4] that the **grade** of a finitely generated R-module M is defined as $\operatorname{grade}_R M := \inf\{i \geqslant 0 \mid \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M, R) \neq 0\}$; and the **strong grade** of M, denoted by $\operatorname{s.grade}_R M$, is said to be at least n if $\operatorname{grade}_R X \geqslant n$ for any submodule X of M. We introduce two dual versions of these notions as follows.

Definition 6.2. Let $M \in \operatorname{Mod} R$, $N \in \operatorname{Mod} S$ and $n \geqslant 0$.

(1) The Ext-**cograde** of M with respect to ω is defined as $\text{E-}\operatorname{cograde}_\omega M := \inf\{i \geqslant 0 \mid \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(\omega, M) \neq 0\}$; and the **strong** Ext-**cograde** of M with respect to ω, denoted by $\text{s.E-}\operatorname{cograde}_\omega M$, is said to be at least n if $\text{E-}\operatorname{cograde} X \geqslant n$ for any quotient module X of M.
(2) The Tor-**cograde** of N with respect to ω is defined as $\text{T-}\operatorname{cograde}_\omega N := \inf\{i \geqslant 0 \mid \operatorname{Tor}^S_i(\omega, N) \neq 0\}$; and the **strong** Tor-**cograde** of N with respect to ω, denoted by $\text{s.T-}\operatorname{cograde}_\omega N$, is said to be at least n if $\text{T-}\operatorname{cograde}_\omega Y \geqslant n$ for any submodule Y of N.

We remark that the Tor-cograde of N with respect to ω is called the **cograde** of N with respect to ω in [34].

The following result can be regarded as a dual version of the Auslander-Bridger's approximation theorem (see [18, Proposition 3.8]).

Theorem 6.3. *Let $M \in \operatorname{Mod} R$ and $n \geqslant 1$. If $\operatorname{T\text{-}cograde}_{\omega} \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(\omega, M) \geqslant i$ for any $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, then there exists a module $U \in \operatorname{Mod} R$ and a homomorphism $f : U \to M$ in $\operatorname{Mod} R$ satisfying the following properties:*

(1) $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)\text{-}\operatorname{id}_R U \leqslant n$, *and*
(2) $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(\omega, f)$ *is bijective for any* $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on n.

Let $n = 1$ and

$$Q_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} Q_0 \to \operatorname{Ext}^1_R(\omega, M) \to 0$$

be a projective presentation of $\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(\omega, M)$ in $\operatorname{Mod} S$. Then we get the following exact sequence:

$$\omega \otimes_S Q_1 \xrightarrow{1_\omega \otimes f_1} \omega \otimes_S Q_0 \to \omega \otimes_S \operatorname{Ext}^1_R(\omega, M) \to 0$$

in $\operatorname{Mod} R$ with both $\omega \otimes_S Q_1$ and $\omega \otimes_S Q_0$ in $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R) (= \operatorname{Add}_R \omega)$. Put $U = \operatorname{Ker}(1_\omega \otimes f_1)$. Because $\omega \otimes_S \operatorname{Ext}^1_R(\omega, M) = 0$ by assumption, $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)\text{-}\operatorname{id}_R U \leqslant 1$.

Next we show that there exists a homomorphism $f : U \to M$ in $\operatorname{Mod} R$ such that $\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(\omega, f)$ is bijective. Since Q_1 and Q_0 are projective, there exist two homomorphisms g_0 and g_1 such that we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
Q_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & Q_0 & \xrightarrow{\delta'} & \operatorname{Ext}^1_R(\omega, M) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\vdots\, g_1 & & \vdots\, g_0 & & \| & & \\
I^0(M)_* & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{co}\Omega^1(M)_* & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \operatorname{Ext}^1_R(\omega, M) & \longrightarrow & 0.
\end{array}$$

Diagram (6.1)

Then there exists a homomorphism f such that we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & U & \longrightarrow & \omega \otimes_S Q_1 & \xrightarrow{1_\omega \otimes f_1} & \omega \otimes_S Q_0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h_0} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & I^0(M) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{co}\Omega^1(M) & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array}$$

Diagram (6.2)

where $h_1 = \theta_{I^0(M)} \cdot (1_\omega \otimes g_1)$ and $h_0 = \theta_{\mathrm{co}\Omega^1(M)} \cdot (1_\omega \otimes g_0)$. Applying the functor $(-)_*$ to Diagram (6.2), we obtain the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} (\omega \otimes_S Q_1)_* & \xrightarrow{(1_\omega \otimes f_1)_*} & (\omega \otimes_S Q_0)_* & \xrightarrow{\delta''} & \mathrm{Ext}^1_R(\omega, U) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle h_{1*}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h_{0*}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Ext}^1_R(\omega, f)} & & \\ I^0(M)_* & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{co}\Omega^1(M)_* & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \mathrm{Ext}^1_R(\omega, M) & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Diagram (6.3)

Because the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q_0 & \xrightarrow{g_0} & \mathrm{co}\Omega^1(M)_* \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mu_{Q_0}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mu_{\mathrm{co}\Omega^1(M)_*}} \\ (\omega \otimes_S Q_0)_* & \xrightarrow{(1_\omega \otimes g_0)_*} & (\omega \otimes_S \mathrm{co}\Omega^1(M)_*)_* \end{array}$$

is commutative, $\mu_{\mathrm{co}\Omega^1(M)_*} \cdot g_0 = (1_\omega \otimes g_0)_* \cdot \mu_{Q_0}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & h_{0*} \cdot \mu_{Q_0} \\ &= (\theta_{\mathrm{co}\Omega^1(M)} \cdot (1_\omega \otimes g_0))_* \cdot \mu_{Q_0} \\ &= (\theta_{\mathrm{co}\Omega^1(M)})_* \cdot (1_\omega \otimes g_0)_* \cdot \mu_{Q_0} \\ &= (\theta_{\mathrm{co}\Omega^1(M)})_* \cdot \mu_{\mathrm{co}\Omega^1(M)_*} \cdot g_0 \\ &= 1_{\mathrm{co}\Omega^1(M)_*} \cdot g_0 \text{ (by Lemma 6.1(1))} \\ &= g_0. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from Diagrams (6.1) and (6.3), we get that $\delta' = \delta \cdot g_0$ and $\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(\omega, f) \cdot \delta'' = \delta \cdot h_{0*}$. So we have

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(\omega, f) \cdot \delta'' \cdot \mu_{Q_0}$$

$$= \delta \cdot h_{0*} \cdot \mu_{Q_0}$$
$$= \delta \cdot g_0$$
$$= \delta',$$

and we get the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
(\omega \otimes_S Q_1)_* & \xrightarrow{(1_\omega \otimes f_1)_*} & (\omega \otimes_S Q_0)_* & \xrightarrow{\delta''} & \mathrm{Ext}^1_R(\omega, U) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\cong \downarrow (\mu_{Q_1})^{-1} & & \cong \downarrow (\mu_{Q_0})^{-1} & & \downarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1_R(\omega, f) & & \\
Q_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & Q_0 & \xrightarrow{\delta'} & \mathrm{Ext}^1_R(\omega, M) & \longrightarrow & 0.
\end{array}$$

Thus $\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(\omega, f)$ is bijective.

Now suppose $n \geqslant 2$. By the induction hypothesis, there exists a homomorphism $f' : U' \to M$ in $\operatorname{Mod} R$ such that $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)\text{-id}_R\, U' \leqslant n-1$ and $\mathrm{Ext}^i_R(\omega, f')$ is bijective for any $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$. Then there exists a $\mathrm{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{P}_\omega(R))$-exact exact sequence:

$$0 \to U' \xrightarrow{g'} W \to X \to 0$$

in $\operatorname{Mod} R$ with W in $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)$, and we get the following commutative diagram with exact columns and rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 & & & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \vdots & & \\
 & & & & M & = & M & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow {\scriptstyle \binom{1_M}{0}} & & \vdots & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & U' & \xrightarrow{\binom{f'}{g'}} & M \oplus W & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \| & & \downarrow {\scriptstyle (0, 1_W)} & & \vdots & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & U' & \xrightarrow{g'} & W & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \vdots & & \\
 & & & & 0 & & 0, & &
\end{array}$$

where $L = \mathrm{Coker} \binom{f'}{g'}$. It is easy to see that the exact sequence:

$$0 \to U' \xrightarrow{\binom{f'}{g'}} M \oplus W \to L \to 0$$

is $\mathrm{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{P}_\omega(R))$-exact. Because $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)\text{-id}_R\, U' \leqslant n-1$ and $\mathrm{Ext}^i_R(\omega, f')$ is bijective for any $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$, we have that the sequence

$$0 \to {U'}_* \xrightarrow{\binom{f'}{g'}_*} (M \oplus W)_* \to L_* \to 0$$

is exact, $\mathrm{Ext}_R^{1\leqslant i\leqslant n-1}(\omega, L) = 0$ and $\mathrm{Ext}_R^n(\omega, M) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_R^n(\omega, L)$. Take a projective resolution:

$$Q_n \xrightarrow{f_n} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_2} Q_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} Q_0 \to \mathrm{Ext}_R^n(\omega, M) \to 0 \tag{6.1}$$

of $\mathrm{Ext}_R^n(\omega, M)$ in $\operatorname{Mod} S$. By assumption $\text{T-cograde}_\omega \mathrm{Ext}_R^n(\omega, M) \geqslant n$, so we get the following exact sequence:

$$0 \to N \to \omega \otimes_S Q_n \xrightarrow{1_\omega \otimes f_n} \cdots \xrightarrow{1_\omega \otimes f_2} \omega \otimes_S Q_1 \xrightarrow{1_\omega \otimes f_1} \omega \otimes_S Q_0 \to 0 \tag{6.2}$$

in $\operatorname{Mod} R$ with all $\omega \otimes_S Q_i$ in $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)$ and $N = \mathrm{Ker}(1_\omega \otimes f_n)$. Then $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)\text{-id}_R\, N \leqslant n$. Applying the functor $(-)_*$ to the exact sequence (6.2) we get the following sequence:

$$0 \to N_* \to (\omega \otimes_S Q_n)_* \xrightarrow{(1_\omega \otimes f_n)_*} \cdots \xrightarrow{(1_\omega \otimes f_2)_*} (\omega \otimes_S Q_1)_* \xrightarrow{(1_\omega \otimes f_1)_*} (\omega \otimes_S Q_0)_* \to 0. \tag{6.3}$$

Comparing the sequences (6.1) with (6.3) we get that $\mathrm{Ext}_R^{1\leqslant i\leqslant n-1}(\omega, N) = 0$ and $\mathrm{Ext}_R^n(\omega, N) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_R^n(\omega, M)$.

Because $\mathrm{Ext}_R^i(\omega, L) = 0$ for any $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$, we get an exact sequence:

$$I^0(L)_* \to I^1(L)_* \to \cdots \to I^{n-1}(L)_* \to K_* \to \mathrm{Ext}_R^n(\omega, L) \to 0$$

in $\operatorname{Mod} S$, where $K = \mathrm{Coker}(I^{n-2}(L) \to I^{n-1}(L))$. Since all Q_i are projective, there exist homomorphisms $g_0, g_1, \cdots g_n$ such that we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
Q_n & \xrightarrow{f_n} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{f_2} & Q_1 & \xrightarrow{f_0} & Q_0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Ext}_R^n(\omega, L) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle g_n} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g_0} & & \| & & \\
I^0(L)_* & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & I^{n-1}(L)_* & \longrightarrow & K_* & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Ext}_R^n(\omega, L) & \longrightarrow & 0.
\end{array}$$

Diagram (6.4)

Then there exists a homomorphism h such that we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & N & \xrightarrow{s} & \omega \otimes_S Q_n & \xrightarrow{1_\omega \otimes f_n} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{1_\omega \otimes f_1} & \omega \otimes_S Q_1 & \xrightarrow{1_\omega \otimes f_0} & \omega \otimes_S Q_0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h_n} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h_0} & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & I^0(L) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & I^{n-1}(L) & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & 0,
\end{array}$$

Diagram (6.5)

where $h_i = \theta_{I^{n-i}(L)} \cdot (1_\omega \otimes g_i)$ for any $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ and $h_0 = \theta_K \cdot (1_\omega \otimes g_0)$. Notice that the functor $(-)_*$ gives Diagram (6.5) back to Diagram (6.4), so $\mathrm{Ext}^n_R(\omega, h)$ is bijective.

Put $W' = \omega \otimes_S Q_n$. Then we get an exact sequence:

$$0 \to N \xrightarrow{\binom{h}{s}} L \oplus W' \to N' \to 0$$

and a $\mathrm{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{P}_\omega(R))$-exact exact sequence:

$$0 \to U' \xrightarrow{u} M \oplus W \oplus W' \to L \oplus W' \to 0$$

in $\mathrm{Mod}\, R$, where $u = \begin{pmatrix} f' \\ g' \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Consider the following pull-back diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 & & & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & U' & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & U & \xrightarrow{\beta} & N & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \| & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \lambda} & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & U' & \xrightarrow{u} & M \oplus W \oplus W' & \longrightarrow & L \oplus W' & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & & & N' & = & N' & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & & & 0 & & 0. & &
\end{array}$$

It is easy to see that the first row in the above diagram is $\mathrm{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{P}_\omega(R))$-exact exact. Because $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)\text{-id}_R\, U' \leqslant n-1$ and $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)\text{-id}_R\, N \leqslant n$, $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)\text{-id}_R\, U \leqslant n$ by the dual version of [16, Lemma 8.2.1].

Put $p = (1_M, 0, 0) : M \oplus W \oplus W' \twoheadrightarrow M$ and $f = p \cdot \lambda$. Then $\mathrm{Ext}^i_R(\omega, f) = \mathrm{Ext}^i_R(\omega, p) \cdot \mathrm{Ext}^i_R(\omega, \lambda)$ for any $i \geqslant 0$. Because $W \oplus W' \in \mathcal{P}_\omega(R)$, $\mathrm{Ext}^i_R(\omega, p)$ is bijective for any $i \geqslant 1$. Note that $\mathrm{Ext}^i_R(\omega, f')$ is bijective for any $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$ and $\mathrm{Ext}^{1\leqslant i\leqslant n-1}_R(\omega, N) = 0 = \mathrm{Ext}^{1\leqslant i\leqslant n-1}_R(\omega, L)$. We have the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & \mathrm{Ext}^i_R(\omega, U') & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ext}^i_R(\omega,\alpha)} & \mathrm{Ext}^i_R(\omega, U) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \| & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Ext}^i_R(\omega,\lambda)} & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Ext}^i_R(\omega, U') & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ext}^i_R(\omega,u)} & \mathrm{Ext}^i_R(\omega, M \oplus W \oplus W') & \longrightarrow & 0.
\end{array}$$

So $\mathrm{Ext}^i_R(\omega, \lambda)$ and $\mathrm{Ext}^i_R(\omega, f)$ are bijective for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$. On the other hand, because $\mathrm{Ext}^n_R(\omega, h)$ is bijective and $\mathrm{Ext}^{n+1}_R(\omega, U') = 0 = \mathrm{Ext}^{n-1}_R(\omega, L)$, we have the

following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & \mathrm{Ext}^n_R(\omega,U') & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ext}^n_R(\omega,\alpha)} & \mathrm{Ext}^n_R(\omega,U) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ext}^n_R(\omega,\beta)} & \mathrm{Ext}^n_R(\omega,N) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \| & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Ext}^n_R(\omega,\lambda)} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \cong} \\
0 \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Ext}^n_R(\omega,U') & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ext}^n_R(\omega,u)} & \mathrm{Ext}^n_R(\omega,M\oplus W\oplus W') & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Ext}^n_R(\omega,L\oplus W') & .
\end{array}$$

So $\mathrm{Ext}^n_R(\omega,\lambda)$ and $\mathrm{Ext}^n_R(\omega,f)$ are bijective. The proof is finished. □

Dual to Theorem 6.3, we have the following

Theorem 6.4. *Let $N \in \operatorname{Mod} S$ and $n \geqslant 1$. If $\text{E-cograde}_\omega \operatorname{Tor}^S_i(\omega,N) \geqslant i$ for any $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, then there exists a module $V \in \operatorname{Mod} S$ and a homomorphism $g : N \to V$ in $\operatorname{Mod} S$ satisfying the following properties:*

(1) *$\mathcal{I}_\omega(S)\text{-pd}_S V \leqslant n$, and*
(2) *$\operatorname{Tor}^S_i(\omega,g)$ is bijective for any $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$.*

In the rest of this section, we give several applications of Theorems 6.3 and 6.4.

Let Λ be an artin R-algebra over a commutative artin ring R and $\operatorname{mod}\Lambda$ the class of finitely generated left Λ-modules. It is well known that the ordinary Matlis duality functor $D(-)$ induces a duality between $\operatorname{mod}\Lambda$ and $\operatorname{mod}\Lambda^{op}$. Recall from [24] that Λ is called **right quasi Auslander n-Gorenstein** provided $\operatorname{fd}_{\Lambda^{op}} I^i(\Lambda_\Lambda) \leqslant i+1$ for any $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$. As an application of Theorem 6.3, we get the following

Corollary 6.5. *Let Λ be a right quasi Auslander n-Gorenstein artin algebra and $M \in \operatorname{mod}\Lambda$. Then there exists a module $U \in \operatorname{mod}\Lambda$ and a homomorphism $f : U \to M$ in $\operatorname{mod}\Lambda$ satisfying the following properties:*

(1) *$\operatorname{id}_\Lambda U \leqslant n$, and*
(2) *$\operatorname{Ext}^i_\Lambda(D(\Lambda),f)$ is bijective for any $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$.*

Proof. Let $M \in \operatorname{mod}\Lambda$ and $i,j \geqslant 0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\operatorname{Ext}^i_\Lambda(D(\Lambda),M) \\
&\cong \operatorname{Ext}^i_\Lambda(D(\Lambda),D(D(M))) \\
&\cong D(\operatorname{Tor}^\Lambda_i(D(M),D(\Lambda))) \text{ (by [10, Chapter VI, Proposition 5.1])} \\
&\cong D(D(\operatorname{Ext}^i_{\Lambda^{op}}(D(M),\Lambda))) \text{ (by [10, Chapter VI, Proposition 5.3])} \\
&\cong \operatorname{Ext}^i_{\Lambda^{op}}(D(M),\Lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

So for any $i \geqslant 1$ and $j \geqslant 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\operatorname{Tor}^\Lambda_j(D(\Lambda),\operatorname{Ext}^i_\Lambda(D(\Lambda),M)) \\
\cong\ &\operatorname{Tor}^\Lambda_j(D(\Lambda),\operatorname{Ext}^i_{\Lambda^{op}}(D(M),\Lambda))
\end{aligned}$$

$$\cong D(\mathrm{Ext}^j_\Lambda(\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\Lambda^{op}}(D(M),\Lambda),\Lambda) \text{ (by [10, Chapter VI, Proposition 5.3])}.$$

Since Λ is right quasi Auslander n-Gorenstein, $\mathrm{grade}_\Lambda \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\Lambda^{op}}(D(M),\Lambda) \geqslant i$ for any $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ by [4, Theorem 4.7]. It follows from the above argument that $\text{T-cograde}_{D(\Lambda)} \mathrm{Ext}^i_\Lambda(D(\Lambda), M) \geqslant i$ for any $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. In addition, notice that $D(\Lambda)$ is an injective cogenerator for $\mathrm{Mod}\,\Lambda$, so $\mathcal{P}_{D(\Lambda)}(\Lambda)\text{-id}_\Lambda X = \mathrm{id}_\Lambda X$ for any $X \in \mathrm{mod}\,\Lambda$. Now the assertion follows from Theorem 6.3. □

We give the second application of Theorems 6.3 (and 6.4) as follows.

Corollary 6.6. *Let $M \in \mathrm{Mod}\,R$ and $N \in \mathrm{Mod}\,S$. Then for any $n \geqslant 0$ we have*

(1) *If* $\text{T-cograde}_\omega \mathrm{Ext}^i_R(\omega, M) \geqslant i+1$ *for any* $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, *then* $\text{E-cograde}_\omega M \geqslant n+1$.
(2) *If* $\text{E-cograde}_\omega \mathrm{Tor}^S_i(\omega, N) \geqslant i+1$ *for any* $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, *then* $\text{T-cograde}_C N \geqslant n+1$.

Proof. (1) We proceed by induction on n.

Let $n = 0$ and $\omega \otimes_S M_* = 0$. Since $(\theta_M)_* \cdot \mu_{M_*} = 1_{M_*}$ by Lemma 6.1(1), μ_{M_*} is a split monomorphism and $M_* = 0$.

Now suppose $n \geqslant 1$. By the induction hypothesis, we have that $\text{E-cograde}_\omega M \geqslant n$ and $\mathrm{Ext}^{0\leqslant i\leqslant n-1}_R(\omega, M) = 0$. It is left to show $\mathrm{Ext}^n_R(\omega, M) = 0$. By Theorem 6.3, there exists a module $U \in \mathrm{Mod}\,R$ and a homomorphism $f : U \to M$ in $\mathrm{Mod}\,R$ such that $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)\text{-id}_R U \leqslant n$ and $\mathrm{Ext}^i_R(\omega, f)$ is bijective for any $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. It follows that $\mathrm{Ext}^{1\leqslant i\leqslant n-1}_R(\omega, U) = 0$. Let

$$0 \to U \xrightarrow{g} W_0 \to W_1 \to \cdots \to W_n \to 0$$

be an exact sequence in $\mathrm{Mod}\,R$ with all W_i in $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)$. Applying the functor $(-)_*$ to it we get an exact sequence:

$$0 \to U_* \to W_{0*} \to W_{1*} \to \cdots \to W_{n*} \to \mathrm{Ext}^n_R(\omega, U) \to 0$$

in $\mathrm{Mod}\,S$. Since $\mathrm{Ext}^n_R(\omega, M) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^n_R(\omega, U)$, we have $\text{T-cograde}_\omega \mathrm{Ext}^n_R(\omega, U) \geqslant n+1$ by assumption. Then we get the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
 & & \omega \otimes_R U_* & \longrightarrow & \omega \otimes_S W_{0*} & \longrightarrow & \omega \otimes_S W_{1*} & \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow & \omega \otimes_S W_{n*} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \theta_U} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \theta_{W_0}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \theta_{W_1}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \theta_{W_n}} & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & U & \longrightarrow & W_0 & \longrightarrow & W_1 & \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow & W_n & \longrightarrow & 0.
\end{array}$$

Because all θ_{W_i} are bijective, θ_U is epic. Note that we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \omega \otimes_S U_* & \xrightarrow{1_\omega \otimes f_*} & \omega \otimes_S M_* \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \theta_U} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \theta_M} \\ U & \xrightarrow{\quad f \quad} & M. \end{array}$$

Because $\omega \otimes_S M_* = 0$ by assumption. $f \cdot \theta_U = 0$. But θ_U is epic, so $f = 0$. It follows that the bijection $\mathrm{Ext}^n_R(\omega, f)$ is zero and $\mathrm{Ext}^n_R(\omega, M) = 0$.

(2) The proof is dual to that of (1), so we omit it. □

Before giving the third application of Theorem 6.3, we need the following

Proposition 6.7. *Let*

$$V_1 \xrightarrow{g} V_0 \to N \to 0 \tag{6.4}$$

be an exact sequence in $\mathrm{Mod}\, S$ *satisfying the following conditions:*

(1) *Both* μ_{V_0} *and* μ_{V_1} *are isomorphisms.*

(2) $\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(\omega, \omega \otimes_S V_0) = 0$ *and* $\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(\omega, \omega \otimes_S V_1) = 0 = \mathrm{Ext}^2_R(\omega, \omega \otimes_S V_1)$.

Then there exists an exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_R(\omega, L) \to N \xrightarrow{\mu_N} (\omega \otimes_S N)_* \to \mathrm{Ext}^2_R(\omega, L) \to 0,$$

where $L = \mathrm{Ker}(1_\omega \otimes g)$.

Proof. By applying the functor $\omega \otimes_S -$ to (6.4), we get an exact sequence:

$$0 \to L \to \omega \otimes_S V_1 \xrightarrow{1_\omega \otimes g} \omega \otimes_S V_0 \to \omega \otimes_S N \to 0$$

in $\mathrm{Mod}\, R$. Let $g = \alpha \cdot \pi$ (where $\pi : V_1 \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Im}\, g$ and $\alpha : \mathrm{Im}\, g \rightarrowtail V_0$) and $1_\omega \otimes g = \alpha' \cdot \pi'$ (where $\pi' : \omega \otimes_S V_1 \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Im}(1_\omega \otimes g)$ and $\alpha' : \mathrm{Im}(1_\omega \otimes g) \rightarrowtail \omega \otimes_S V_0$) be the natural epic-monic decompositions of g and $1_\omega \otimes g$ respectively. Since $\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(\omega, \omega \otimes_S V_0) = 0$, we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Im}\, g & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & V_0 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mu_{V_0}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mu_N} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & (\mathrm{Im}(1_\omega \otimes g))_* & \xrightarrow{\alpha'_*} & (\omega \otimes_S V_0)_* & \longrightarrow & (\omega \otimes_S N)_* & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Ext}^1_R(\omega, \mathrm{Im}(1_\omega \otimes g)) \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

where h is an induced homomorphism. Then $\alpha'_* \cdot h = \mu_{V_0} \cdot \alpha$. In addition, since μ_{V_0} is an isomorphism by assumption, by the snake lemma we have $\mathrm{Coker}\, \mu_N \cong \mathrm{Ext}^1_R(\omega, \mathrm{Im}(1_\omega \otimes g))$ and $\mathrm{Ker}\, \mu_N \cong \mathrm{Coker}\, h$.

On the other hand, since $\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(\omega, \omega \otimes_S V_1) = 0 = \mathrm{Ext}^2_R(\omega, \omega \otimes_S V_1)$ by assumption, by applying the functor $(-)_*$ to the exact sequence:

$$0 \to L \to \omega \otimes_S V_1 \xrightarrow{\pi'} \mathrm{Im}(1_\omega \otimes g) \to 0,$$

we get the following exact sequence:

$$0 \to L_* \to (\omega \otimes_S V_1)_* \xrightarrow{\pi'_*} (\mathrm{Im}(1_\omega \otimes g))_* \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_R(\omega, L) \to 0$$

and the isomorphism:

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(\omega, \mathrm{Im}(1_\omega \otimes g)) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^2_R(\omega, L).$$

Because

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_1 & \xrightarrow{\quad g \quad} & V_0 \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mu_{V_1}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mu_{V_0}} \\ (\omega \otimes_S V_1)_* & \xrightarrow{(1_\omega \otimes g)_*} & (\omega \otimes_S V_0)_* \end{array}$$

is a commutative diagram, $(1_\omega \otimes g)_* \cdot \mu_{V_1} = \mu_{V_0} \cdot g$. Because $1_\omega \otimes g = \alpha' \cdot \pi'$, $(1_\omega \otimes g)_* = \alpha'_* \cdot \pi'_*$. Thus we have $\alpha'_* \cdot h \cdot \pi = \mu_{V_0} \cdot \alpha \cdot \pi = \mu_{V_0} \cdot g = (1_\omega \otimes g)_* \cdot \mu_{V_1} = \alpha'_* \cdot \pi'_* \cdot \mu_{V_1}$. Because α'_* is monic, $h \cdot \pi = \pi'_* \cdot \mu_{V_1}$. Notice that π is epic and μ_{V_1} is an isomorphism, so $\mathrm{Ker}\, \mu_N \cong \mathrm{Coker}\, h \cong \mathrm{Coker}\, \pi'_* \cong \mathrm{Ext}^1_R(\omega, L)$. Consequently we obtain the desired exact sequence. □

As a consequence of Proposition 6.7, we have the following

Corollary 6.8. *Let $M \in \mathrm{Mod}\, R$. Then there exists an exact sequence:*

$$0 \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_R(\omega, M) \to \mathrm{cTr}_\omega M \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathrm{cTr}_\omega M}} (\omega \otimes_S \mathrm{cTr}_\omega M)_* \to \mathrm{Ext}^2_R(\omega, M) \to 0.$$

Proof. Let $M \in \mathrm{Mod}\, R$. Then from the exact sequence (1.1) we get the following exact sequence:

$$0 \to M_* \to I^0(M)_* \xrightarrow{{f^0}_*} I^1(M)_* \to \mathrm{cTr}_\omega M \to 0$$

in $\mathrm{Mod}\, S$. Consider the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Ker}(1_\omega \otimes {f^0}_*) & \longrightarrow & \omega \otimes_S I^0(M)_* & \xrightarrow{1_\omega \otimes {f^0}_*} & \omega \otimes_S I^1(M)_* & \longrightarrow & \omega \otimes_S \mathrm{cTr}_\omega M \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \vdots{\scriptstyle h} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \theta_{I^0(M)}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \theta_{I^0(M)}} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & I^0(M) & \xrightarrow{f^0} & I^1(M). & & \end{array}$$

Because $I^0(M), I^1(M) \in \mathcal{B}_\omega(R)$ by [23, Theorem 6.2], both $\theta_{I^0(M)}$ and $\theta_{I^1(M)}$ are isomorphisms. So the induced homomorphism h is also an isomorphism and $M \cong \mathrm{Ker}(1_\omega \otimes {f^0}_*)$. Note that $I^0(M)_*, I^1(M)_* \in \mathcal{A}_\omega(S)$ by [23, Proposition 4.1]. So both $\mu_{I^0(M)_*}$ and $\mu_{I^1(M)_*}$ are isomomorphisms, and then the assertion follows from Proposition 6.7. □

We are now in a position to prove the following

Theorem 6.9. *For any $n \geqslant 1$, the following statements are equivalent.*

(1) s.E-cograde$_\omega \operatorname{Tor}_i^S(\omega, N) \geqslant i$ *for any* $N \in \operatorname{Mod} S$ *and* $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$.
(2) s.T-cograde$_\omega \operatorname{Ext}_R^i(\omega, M) \geqslant i$ *for any* $M \in \operatorname{Mod} R$ *and* $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) We proceed by induction on n.

Let $n = 1$. Given a module M in $\operatorname{Mod} R$, by Corollary 6.8 we have an exact sequence:

$$0 \to \operatorname{Ext}_R^1(\omega, M) \to \operatorname{cTr}_\omega M \xrightarrow{\mu_{\operatorname{cTr}_\omega M}} (\omega \otimes_S \operatorname{cTr}_\omega M)_* \to \operatorname{Ext}_R^2(\omega, M) \to 0.$$

Let $N = \operatorname{Im} \mu_{\operatorname{cTr}_\omega M}$ and let $\mu_{\operatorname{cTr}_\omega M} = \alpha \cdot \beta$ (where $\beta : \operatorname{cTr}_\omega M \twoheadrightarrow N$ and $\alpha : N \rightarrowtail (\omega \otimes_S \operatorname{cTr}_\omega M)_*$) be the natural epic-monic decomposition of $\mu_{\operatorname{cTr}_\omega M}$. Applying the functor $\omega \otimes_S -$ to the following exact sequence:

$$0 \to \operatorname{Ext}_R^1(\omega, M) \to \operatorname{cTr}_\omega M \xrightarrow{\beta} N \to 0, \tag{6.5}$$

we get an exact sequence:

$$\operatorname{Tor}_1^S(\omega, N) \to \omega \otimes_S \operatorname{Ext}_R^1(\omega, M) \to \omega \otimes_S \operatorname{cTr}_\omega M \xrightarrow{1_\omega \otimes \beta} \omega \otimes_S N \to 0.$$

Since $(1_\omega \otimes \alpha) \cdot (1_\omega \otimes \beta) = 1_\omega \otimes \mu_{\operatorname{cTr}_\omega M}$ and $1_\omega \otimes \mu_{\operatorname{cTr}_\omega M}$ is a split monomorphism by Lemma 6.1(2), $1_\omega \otimes \beta$ is an isomorphism. It follows that $\omega \otimes_S \operatorname{Ext}_R^1(\omega, M)$ is isomorphic to a quotient module of $\operatorname{Tor}_1^S(\omega, N)$ in $\operatorname{Mod} R$. Then by assumption E-cograde$_\omega(\omega \otimes_S \operatorname{Ext}_R^1(\omega, M)) \geqslant 1$. Using Corollary 6.6(2) we have that $\omega \otimes_S \operatorname{Ext}_R^1(\omega, M) = 0$.

Let X be a submodule of $\operatorname{Ext}_R^1(\omega, M)$ in $\operatorname{Mod} S$. Then the exact sequence (6.5) induces the following two exact sequences:

$$0 \to \operatorname{Ext}_R^1(\omega, M)/X \to (\operatorname{cTr}_\omega M)/X \xrightarrow{\gamma} N \to 0,$$
$$0 \to X \to \operatorname{cTr}_\omega M \xrightarrow{\pi} (\operatorname{cTr}_\omega M)/X \to 0 \tag{6.6}$$

such that $\beta = \gamma \cdot \pi$. Then $1_\omega \otimes \beta = (1_\omega \otimes \gamma) \cdot (1_\omega \otimes \pi)$. On the other hand, since $\omega \otimes_S \operatorname{Ext}_R^1(\omega, M) = 0$, $\omega \otimes_S (\operatorname{Ext}_R^1(\omega, M)/X) = 0$ and $1_\omega \otimes \gamma$ is bijective. So $1_\omega \otimes \pi$ is also bijective. Hence from the exact sequence:

$$\operatorname{Tor}_1^S(\omega, (\operatorname{cTr}_\omega M)/X) \to \omega \otimes_S X \to \omega \otimes_S \operatorname{cTr}_\omega M \xrightarrow{1_\omega \otimes \pi} \omega \otimes_S (\operatorname{cTr}_\omega M)/X \to 0$$

induced by (6.6), we get that $\omega \otimes_S X$ is isomorphic to a quotient module of $\operatorname{Tor}_1^S(\omega, (\operatorname{cTr}_\omega M)/X)$. Then by assumption E-cograde$_\omega(\omega \otimes_S X) \geqslant 1$. It follows from Corollary 6.6(2) that T-cograde$_\omega X \geqslant 1$.

Now suppose $n \geqslant 2$. By the induction hypothesis, it suffices to prove that s.T-cograde$_\omega \operatorname{Ext}_R^n(\omega, M) \geqslant n$. Because $\operatorname{Ext}_R^n(\omega, M) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_R^{n-1}(\omega, \operatorname{co}\Omega^1(M))$, s.T-cograde$_\omega \operatorname{Ext}_R^n(\omega, M) \geqslant n - 1$ by the induction hypothesis.

Let X be a submodule of $\operatorname{Ext}_R^n(\omega, M)$ in $\operatorname{Mod} S$. Because s.T-cograde$_\omega \operatorname{Ext}_R^i(\omega, M) \geqslant i$ for any $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$, by Theorem 6.3 there exists a module $U \in \operatorname{Mod} R$ and a

homomorphism $f : U \to M$ in $\operatorname{Mod} R$ such that $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)\text{-id}_R\, U \leqslant n-1$ and $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(\omega, f)$ is bijective for any $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$. Let

$$0 \to U \xrightarrow{g} W_0 \to W_1 \to \cdots \to W_{n-1} \to 0$$

be an exact sequence in $\operatorname{Mod} R$ with all W_i in $\mathcal{P}_\omega(R)$ and $L = \operatorname{Coker}\binom{f}{g}$. Then it is not difficult to verify that $\operatorname{Ext}^{1\leqslant i\leqslant n-1}_R(\omega, L) = 0$ and $\operatorname{Ext}^n_R(\omega, M) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^n_R(\omega, L)$. So we have an exact sequence:

$$0 \to L_* \to I^0(L)_* \to I^1(L)_* \to \cdots \to I^n(L)_* \to Y \to 0$$

such that $\operatorname{Ext}^n_R(\omega, L) \subseteq Y$. Applying the functor $\omega \otimes_S -$ to it, we get the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
\omega \otimes_S I^0(L)_* & \longrightarrow & \omega \otimes_S I^1(L)_* & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & \omega \otimes_S I^n(L)_* & \longrightarrow & \omega \otimes_S Y \longrightarrow 0 \\
\cong\downarrow{\scriptstyle \theta_{I^0(L)}} & & \cong\downarrow{\scriptstyle \theta_{I^1(L)}} & & & & \cong\downarrow{\scriptstyle \theta_{I^n(L)}} & & \\
I^0(L) & \longrightarrow & I^1(L) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & I^n(L) & &
\end{array} .$$

Because the bottom row in this diagram is exact, so is the upper row. It implies that $\operatorname{Tor}^S_{1\leqslant i\leqslant n-1}(\omega, Y) = 0$. Since X is isomorphic a submodule of $\operatorname{Ext}^n_R(\omega, L)(\cong \operatorname{Ext}^n_R(\omega, M))$ in $\operatorname{Mod} S$ and $\text{s.T-cograde}_\omega \operatorname{Ext}^n_R(\omega, L)$=$\text{s.T-cograde}_\omega \operatorname{Ext}^n_R(\omega, M) \geqslant n-1$, $\text{T-cograde}_C X \geqslant n-1$. Since $\operatorname{Tor}^S_{n-1}(\omega, Y) = 0$, we have an exact sequence:

$$\operatorname{Tor}^S_n(\omega, Y/X) \to \operatorname{Tor}^S_{n-1}(\omega, X) \to 0.$$

By assumption $\text{s.E-cograde}_\omega \operatorname{Tor}^S_n(\omega, Y/X) \geqslant n$, so $\text{E-cograde}_\omega \operatorname{Tor}^S_{n-1}(\omega, X) \geqslant n$. Thus we have $\text{E-cograde}_\omega \operatorname{Tor}^S_i(\omega, X) \geqslant i+1$ for any $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$. It follows from Corollary 6.6(2) that $\text{T-cograde}_C X \geqslant n$.

Dually, we get (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). □

For any $n \geqslant 1$, recall that an artin algebra Λ is called **Auslander n-Gorenstein** provided $\operatorname{fd}_\Lambda I^i({}_\Lambda\Lambda) \leqslant i$ for any $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$. The following result extends [18, Theorem 3.7].

Corollary 6.10. *Let Λ be an artin algebra. Then the following statements are equivalent for any $n \geqslant 1$.*

(1) Λ *is Auslander n-Gorenstein.*

(1)^{op} Λ^{op} *is Auslander n-Gorenstein.*

(2) $\text{s.grade}_\Lambda \operatorname{Ext}^i_\Lambda(M, \Lambda) \geqslant i$ *for any* $M \in \operatorname{mod}\Lambda$ *and* $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$.

(2)^{op} $\text{s.grade}_\Lambda \operatorname{Ext}^i_{\Lambda^{op}}(N, \Lambda) \geqslant i$ *for any* $N \in \operatorname{mod}\Lambda^{op}$ *and* $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$.

(3) $\text{s.E-cograde}_{D(\Lambda)} \operatorname{Tor}^\Lambda_i(D(\Lambda), M) \geqslant i$ *for any* $M \in \operatorname{mod}\Lambda$ *and* $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$.

(4) $\text{s.T-cograde}_{D(\Lambda)} \operatorname{Ext}^i_\Lambda(D(\Lambda), M) \geqslant i$ *for any* $M \in \operatorname{mod}\Lambda$ *and* $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$.

Proof. (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ $(1)^{op}$ $\Leftrightarrow$ (2) $\Leftrightarrow$ $(2)^{op}$ follow from [18, Theorem 3.7].

Since the proof of Theorem 6.9 is also valid while modules are restricted to finitely generated modules over artin algebras, (3) $\Leftrightarrow$ (4) holds true.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Let $M \in \operatorname{mod}\Lambda$ and $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. If Y is submodule of $\operatorname{Ext}^i_\Lambda(M,\Lambda)$ in $\operatorname{mod}\Lambda^{op}$, then $D(Y)$ is isomorphic to a quotient module of $D(\operatorname{Ext}^i_\Lambda(M,\Lambda))$ in $\operatorname{mod}\Lambda$. By [10, Chapter VI, Proposition 5.3], we have $D(\operatorname{Ext}^i_\Lambda(M,\Lambda)) \cong \operatorname{Tor}^\Lambda_i(D(\Lambda),M)$. So $\operatorname{Ext}^j_{\Lambda^{op}}(Y,\Lambda) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^j_\Lambda(D(\Lambda),D(Y)) = 0$ for any $0 \leqslant j \leqslant i-1$ by (3).

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) Let $M \in \operatorname{mod}\Lambda$ and $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. If X is a quotient module of $\operatorname{Tor}^\Lambda_i(D(\Lambda),M)$ in $\operatorname{mod}\Lambda$, then $D(X)$ is isomorphic to a submodule of $D(\operatorname{Tor}^\Lambda_i(D(\Lambda),M))$ in $\operatorname{mod}\Lambda^{op}$. By [10, Chapter VI, Proposition 5.1], we have $D(\operatorname{Tor}^\Lambda_i(D(\Lambda),M)) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^i_\Lambda(M,\Lambda)$. So $\operatorname{Ext}^j_\Lambda(D(\Lambda),X) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^j_{\Lambda^{op}}(D(X),\Lambda) = 0$ for any $0 \leqslant j \leqslant i-1$ by (2). □

Acknowledgements. This research was partially supported by NSFC (Grant Nos. 11171142, 11571164, 11501144), a Project Funded by the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions and NSF of Guangxi Province of China (Grant No. 2013GXNSFBA019005). The authors thank the referee for the useful suggestions.

References

[1] T. Araya, R. Takahashi and Y. Yoshino, *Homological invariants associated to semi-dualizing bimodules*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **45** (2005), 287–306.

[2] M. Auslander and M. Bridger, *Stable Module Theory*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. **94**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1969.

[3] M. Auslander and R.-O. Buchweitz, *The homological theory of maximal Cohen-Macaulay approximations*, Soc. Math. France **38** (1989), 5–37.

[4] M. Auslander and I. Reiten, *Syzygy modules for noetherian rings*, J. Algebra **183** (1996), 167–185.

[5] M. Auslander, I. Reiten and S. O. Smalø, Representation Theory of Artin Algebras, Corrected reprint of the 1995 original, Cambridge Stud. in Adv. Math. **36**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1997.

[6] L.L. Avramov and H.-B. Foxby, *Ring homomorphisms and finite Gorenstein dimension*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **75** (1997), 241–270.

[7] H. Bass, *Injective dimension in Noetherian rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Sco. **102** (1962), 18–29.

[8] H. Bass, *On the ubiquity of Gorenstein rings*, Math. Z. **82** (1963), 8–28.

[9] D. Bennis and N. Mahdou, *Global Gorenstein dimensions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **138** (2010), 461–465.

[10] H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, Homological Algebra, Reprint of the 1956 original, Princeton Landmarks in Math., Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1999.

[11] L.W. Christensen, Gorenstein dimensions, Lecture Notes in Math. **1747**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.

[12] L.W. Christensen, *Semi-dualizing complexes and their Auslander categories*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.**353** (2001), 1839–1883.

[13] L.W. Christensen, A. Frankild and H. Holm, *On Gorenstein projective, injective and flat dimensions–A functorial description with applications*, J. Algebra **302** (2006), 231–279.

[14] L.W. Christensen and H. Holm, *Ascent properties of Auslander categories*, Canad. J. Math. **61** (2009), 76–108.

[15] E.E. Enochs and H. Holm, *Cotorsion pairs associated with Auslander categories*, Israel J. Math. Soc. **174** (2009), 253–268.

[16] E.E. Enochs and O.M.G. Jenda, Relative Homological Algebra. de Gruyter Exp. in Math. **30**, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, New York, 2000.

[17] E.E. Enochs, O.M.G. Jenda and J.Z. Xu, *Foxby duality and Gorenstein injective and projective modules*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **348** (1996), 3223–3234.

[18] R.M. Fossum, P. A. Griffith and I. Reiten, Trivial Extensions of Abelian Categories. Homological Algebra of Trivial Extensions of Abelian Categories with Applications to Ring Theory, Lecture Notes in Math. **456**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1975.

[19] H.-B. Foxby, *Gorenstein modules and related modules*, Math. Scand. **31** (1972), 267–284.

[20] R. Göbel and J. Trlifaj, Approximations and Endomorphism Algebras of Modules, de Gruyter Exp. in Math. **41**, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, New York, 2006.

[21] E.S. Golod, *G-dimension and generalized perfect ideals*, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov. **165** (1984), 62–66.

[22] T. Holm, P. Jørgensen and R. Rouquier, Triangulated categories, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. **375**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2010.

[23] H. Holm and D. White, *Foxby equivalence over associative rings*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **47** (2007), 781–808.

[24] Z.Y. Huang, *Approximation presentations of modules and homological conjectures*, Comm. Algebra **36** (2008), 546–563.

[25] Z.Y. Huang, *Homological dimensions relative to preresolving subcategories*, Kyoto J. Math. **54** (2014), 727–757.

[26] C.H. Huang and Z.Y. Huang, *Torsionfree dimension of modules and self-injective dimension of rings*, Osaka J. Math. **49** (2012), 21–35.

[27] H. Koga, *Semi-tilting modules and mutation*, Algebr. Represent. Theory **16** (2013), 1469–1487.

[28] B. Kubik, *Quasidualizing modules*, J. Commut. Algebra **6** (2014), 209–229.

[29] Z.F. Liu, Z.Y. Huang and A.M. Xu, *Gorenstein projective dimension relative to a semidualizing bimodule*, Comm. Algebra **41** (2013), 1–18.

[30] F. Mantese and I. Reiten, *Wakamatsu tilting modules*, J. Algebra **278** (2004), 532–552.

[31] E. Matlis, *Injective modules over noetherian rings*, Pacific J. Math. **8** (1958), 511–528.

[32] R. Takahashi and D. White, *Homological aspects of semidualizing modules*, Math. Scand. **106** (2010), 5–22.

[33] M. Tamekkante, *Gorenstein global dimension of semi-primary rings*, Arab J. Sci. Eng. **35** (2010), 87–91.

[34] X. Tang and Z.Y. Huang, *Homological aspects of the dual Auslander transpose*, Forum Math. **27** (2015), 3717–3743.

[35] T. Wakamatsu, *Tilting modules and Auslander's Gorenstein property*, J. Algebra **275** (2004), 3–39.

[36] T. Wakamatsu, *Stable equivalence for self-injective algebras and a generalization of tilting modules*, J. Algebra **134** (1990), 298–325.

[37] R. Wisbauer, *Static modules and equivalences*, in: Interactions Between Ring Theory and Representations of Algebras (Murcia), Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. **210**, Dekker, New York, 2000, pp.423–449.